Volume 10, Issue 1, 2020, 4740 - 4746

### **Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry**

www.BiointerfaceResearch.com

https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC101.740746

ISSN 2069-5837

### Original Research Article

**Open Access Journal** 

Received: 20.09.2019 / Revised: 15.11.2019 / Accepted: 16.11.2019 / Published on-line: 21.11.2019

### Utilization of palm oil mill effluent and clindamycin for optimization of polyhydroxy [r]

alkanoates production

# Paulraj Ponnaiah<sup>1,\*</sup>, Harvie Shukri<sup>1</sup>, Chandramohan Muruganandham<sup>1</sup>, Narendrakumar G<sup>2</sup>, Antony V Samrot<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Jalan SP2, Bandar Saujana Putra, 42810, Jenjarom, Selangor, Malaysia <sup>2</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Jeppiar Nagar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600 119, India

\*corresponding author e-mail address: *paulraj@mahsa.edu.my* | Scopus ID <u>57200716733</u>

### ABSTRACT

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) are storage granules of most bacteria which can be used as biodegradable plastics but the production cost of PHA is twice than petrochemical based synthetic polymers because of substrate cost. The use of alternative renewable and cheap carbon sources are the best option, one such is palm oil mill effluent (POME). POME contains carbon source like volatile fatty acids and other organic components which can be utilised by microorganisms to accumulate PHA. The use of subinhibitory concentration of antibiotics like clindamycin may have an influence on PHA accumulation. In this study, 31 organisms were isolated from POME spillage area and subjected to PHA production. Seven organisms were found to accumulate PHA, which was confirmed by Nile blue staining method, the accumulated PHA was extracted and characterized using HPLC. All the organisms were found to produced poly hydroxy butyrate (PHB). Amongst all the seven isolates, two organisms namely *Bacillus* sp and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were found to accumulate more PHA. Both the organisms were subjected to produce PHA in POME and clindamycin containing media. PHA production condition was optimized using RSM.

Keywords: POME (palm oil mill effluent); PHA (Polyhydroxyalkanoates); Optimization.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) are polyesters of most microorganism as storage material [1-3] when excess amount of carbon source is available [4], mostly at stationary phase [5]. Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) can be manipulated into flexible structures and can be used as thermoplastics and various other fields like drug delivery [6-10]. Carbon source plays a major role in accumulation pattern and type [3, 11]. Maximal PHA production depend on growth, cell type, cell density etc. even the physiochemical factors like incubation time, carbon source, temperature, pH have much influence in PHA production ([12,13]. Cost for the production of PHA using chemicals is much expensive [14]. There are reports that any carbon source could influence the storage of PHA, even the carbon sources from waste

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Sample Collection and Isolation of PHA Producing Heterotrophic Bacteria.

### 2.1.1. Palm oil mill effluent and soil samples collection

Soil samples were obtained from POME (palm oil mill effluent) spillage area in Penang, Johor, and Selangor states of Malaysia. Samples were stored in air-tight zip-lock bags and transported to the laboratory for bacterial isolation and screening of potential PHB producing strains. Prior to use, the samples were stored in 4 <sup>o</sup>C refrigerators.

### 2.1.2. Isolation of heterotrophic native microflora from POME spilled soil.

1 g soil sample was serially diluted and spread plate was performed on nutrient agar media. The plates were incubated for 24 h at  $37 \, {}^{0}$ C. The grown colonies were streaked on sterile nutrient

water were used for PHA accumulation [15]. Polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) is a type of short chained PHA (scl-PHA) accumulated by most bacteria [10,16] and it can be used for producing biomaterials and nanocarriers [8,9]. Malaysia is one amongst the highest producer of palm oil [17], simultaneously 85 to 100 million tonnes of palm oil mill effluent (POME) is also produced [18], these effluents are rich in lipid, where it can be used for as carbon source for PHA production. Thus, in this study, organisms were isolated from the POME spillage area. Organisms were isolated, PHA producing organisms were identified and their PHA was characterized. The higher PHA accumulating organism was subjected to optimization of PHA production using RSM.

agar plates to obtain a pure culture. Pure culture was transferred to a sterile nutrient agar slant and stored at 4  $^{0}$ C until further use.

## 2.2. Screening and Selection of Suitable PHA Producing Strains.

Organisms were streaked on mineral salt agar media (MSM) containing (g  $L^{-1}$ ) K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> - 0.8, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> - 0.2, CaCl<sub>2</sub> - 0.05, MgCl<sub>2</sub> - 0.5, FeCl<sub>2</sub> - 0.01, NaCl - 5.0, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> - 1.0, Glucose - 10.0, Agar - 20. Plates were incubated at 37 <sup>o</sup>C. After incubation, plates were flooded with Nile Blue, which was prepared as mentioned in earlier studies [19]. Plates were allowed to stand for 20 min with intermittent gentle shaking, allowing complete staining of colonies. Once completed, excess stain was discarded and all plates were left to air dry at room temperature. Each plate was then observed for fluorescence colony using a UV-

transilluminator. Organisms fluorescing bright orange was recorded as positive. Those positive organisms were proceeded for further studies.

### 2.3. Screening for highest PHA Producing Strains.

All the PHA producing organisms were inoculated into 100 mL media containing (g in 100 mL<sup>-1</sup>) -  $K_2HPO_4$  - 0.08,  $KH_2PO_4$  -0.02, CaCl<sub>2</sub> - 0.005, MgCl<sub>2</sub> - 0.05, FeCl<sub>2</sub> - 0.001, NaCl - 0.5,  $(NH_4)_2SO_4 - 0.1$ , POME – 2 mL and incubated for 48 h at 37 <sup>o</sup>C. After 48 h, each bacterial biomass was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. Bacterial biomass was subjected to centrifugal washing with acetone and kept at - 20 °C for 16 h. PHA was extracted following the modified method of Samrot et al (2011). Washed mass was dried in a hot air oven at 60 °C until reaching a constant weight. The dried biomass was added with 5 mL 4% sodium hypochlorite and 5 mL chloroform, incubated in a 60 °C water bath with intermittent vortexing until the solution become clear and subjected to centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The lower organic phase containing the PHB was transferred into separate tubes for estimation. PHB was estimated by recording OD value of obtained PHB at 235 nm after digestion with Con. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in boiling water bath and correlating it with the standard graph plotted using commercial PHB [21].

### 2.4. Characterization of PHA.

Characterization of PHA was done as described earlier [22]. 1 g acetone dried PHA containing biomass added with 3.0 mL of 2.5 N NaOH. Tubes were sealed with Teflon membranelined caps and heated at 100  $^{0}$ C in in silicone water bath for an hour with intermittent vortexing. After that, the tubes were cooled to bring it to room temperature. 1 mL 0.8M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

### **3. RESULTS**

31 organisms were isolated from the samples obtained from various locations.



**Figure 1.** Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of clindamycin and POME in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-



**Figure 2.** Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of clindamycin and N in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-11.

Sample number PHA - 4, PHA - 6, PHA - 9, PHA - 11, PHA - 15, PHA - 17 and PHA - 25 were found to accumulate more PHA as they fluoresced under UV light(Table 1), for screening this is a better faster method [20]. PHA accumulated by - KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> buffer (pH 6.9) and 0.5 mL of 10 N HCl were then added and centrifuged at 6800 g for 12 min. The supernatant was filtered using a 0.45µm pore size PTFE Millipore membrane filters. Hydrolysed PHA was filtered and subjected to HPLC. The HPLC was equipped with a 4.6 x 250 mm column coated with polystyrene divinyl benzene sulfonic acid resin with 0.005 M sulphuric acid as eluent. Flow rate of 0.6 mL/min was maintained. Detection of repeating units derivatives of PHA was done by detecting the absorption at 210 nm and identified by comparing with purified standards of PHB and the co-polymer, poly(3hydroxybutyrate-*co*-3-hydroxyvalerate).

### 2.5. Optimization using Clindamycin.

Optimization condition for PHA accumulation was done using central composite design (CCD) in Response Surface Methodology of STAT-EASE Design Expert (Ver. 9.0.4.1, Stat-Ease Corp., USA) software. Four factors and five variables were chosen, with POME as a carbon source and Clindamycin (subinhibitory concentration) as the metabolic inhibitor. The parameters were entered into the system and it constructed an experiment design with different media composition, by forming an interaction among each of the parameters, giving the best possible composition. A total of 30 sets of experiments were obtained with each sets having various composition of POME, clindamycin, nitrogen and phosphorus with the response that was performed experimentally for the concentration of POME, clindamycin, carbon and nitrogen etc. All the substance was mixed together according to each composition for the 30 sets of experiment and inoculated with the selected strains. This was then incubated for 48 h and harvested.

these organisms were isolated and found to be polyhydroxy butyrate (PHB) (Results not shown).



**Figure 3.** Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of clindamycin and P in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-11.

PHB accumulation by the sample number 11 and 17 were found to be higher than the other organisms (results not shown). Sample number 11 was identified as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (GENBANK accession number - MK742718) and Sample number 17 was identified as *Bacillus* sp (GENBANK accession number -MK720102). Both the organisms were subjected for optimization studies using RSM.



Figure 4. Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of N and POME in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-11.

| Strain No. |         | C:N Ratio |        |        | Scoring for Positive PHA producers |       |  |
|------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|------------------------------------|-------|--|
|            | 100:100 | 100:50    | 100:25 | 100:10 | 100:0                              |       |  |
| PHA-1      | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-2      | +       | +         | -      | -      | -                                  | +     |  |
| PHA-3      | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-4      | +       | +         | +      | +      | +                                  | +++++ |  |
| PHA-5      | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-6      | +       | +         | +      | +      | +                                  | +++++ |  |
| PHA-7      | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-8      | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-9      | +       | +         | +      | +      | +                                  | +++++ |  |
| PHA-11     | +       | +         | +      | +      | +                                  | +++++ |  |
| PHA-12     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-14     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-15     | +       | +         | +      | +      | +                                  | +++++ |  |
| PHA-16     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-17     | +       | +         | +      | +      | +                                  | +++++ |  |
| PHA-19     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-21     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-22     | +       | -         | +      | -      | +                                  | +++   |  |
| PHA-24     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-25     | +       | +         | +      | +      | +                                  | ++++  |  |
| PHA-26     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-28     | +       | +         | +      | -      | -                                  | +++   |  |
| PHA-29     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-30     | -       | -         | -      | -      | -                                  | -     |  |
| PHA-31     | -       | -         | +      | -      | +                                  | ++    |  |

Table 1. Identification of PHA accumulating organisms in MSM with different C:N ratio.

Table 2. Experimental design to investigate the effect of clindamycin for optimal PHA accumulation using CCD in RSM.

| Factor | Name        | Units         | Variables |      |        |        |
|--------|-------------|---------------|-----------|------|--------|--------|
|        |             |               | Low       | High | -alpha | +alpha |
| А      | Clindamycin | ng/mL         | 400       | 1200 | 0      | 1600   |
| В      | POME        | ml/10ml media | 2         | 4    | 1      | 5      |
| С      | N           | g/L           | 0.5       | 1.5  | 0      | 2      |
| D      | Р           | g/L           | 1         | 2    | 1      | 1      |











**Figure 7.** Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of POME and clindamycin in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-17.

The Experimental design and results of the central composite design were as shown in Table 2 & 3. Strain PHA-11 was able to accumulate the highest PHA concentration of 0.165 g/L under media composition of 1200 ng/mL of Clindamycin, 2 mL / 10mL media of POME, 1.5g/L of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (N) and 1.0g/L of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>-KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> mixture (P/K). The statistical significance of the model equation was evaluated by F-test for analysis of variance (ANOVA), as shown in Table 4. The Model F-value of 15.76 implies the model is significant. There is only a 0.01% chance that a "Model F-Value" this large could occur due to noise. Values of "Prob > F" less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case linear term A, interactions AB, AD, BC, BD, CD, quadratic A<sup>2</sup>, B<sup>2</sup>, C<sup>2</sup>, D<sup>2</sup> are significant model terms. Values greater than 0.1000 indicate the model terms are not significant. The "Lack of Fit F-value" of 2.65 implies the Lack of Fit is not significant relative to the pure error. There is a 14.65%

chance that a "Lack of Fit F-value" this large could occur due to noise.



Figure 8. Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of N and clindamycin in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-17.

The "Pred R-Squared" of 0.6770 is in reasonable agreement with the "Adj R-Squared" of 0.8770. "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio greater than 4 is

desirable. Ratio of 11.935 indicates an adequate signal. Response surface graphs (Figure 1-7) clearly show the interaction of various concentrations of studied variables.



Figure 9. Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of P and clindamycin in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-17.

Table 3. Concentration of PHB in response to various concentration of media composition in Strain PHA-11.

| Std | Run | Factor A: Clindamycin | Factor B: POME  | Factor C: N | Factor D: P/K | Response 1: PHB/48 h |
|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
|     |     | (ng/ml)               | (ml/10ml media) | (g/L)       | (g/L)         | (g/L)                |
| 22  | 1   | 400                   | 2               | 0.5         | 1             | 0.001                |
| 30  | 2   | 1200                  | 2               | 0.5         | 1             | 0.052                |
| 11  | 3   | 400                   | 4               | 0.5         | 1             | 0.021                |
| 12  | 4   | 1200                  | 4               | 0.5         | 1             | 0.030                |
| 4   | 5   | 400                   | 2               | 1.5         | 1             | 0.050                |
| 17  | 6   | 1200                  | 2               | 1.5         | 1             | 0.165                |
| 27  | 7   | 400                   | 4               | 1.5         | 1             | 0.020                |
| 14  | 8   | 1200                  | 4               | 1.5         | 1             | 0.021                |
| 6   | 9   | 400                   | 2               | 0.5         | 2             | 0.021                |
| 1   | 10  | 1200                  | 2               | 0.5         | 2             | 0.033                |
| 28  | 11  | 400                   | 4               | 0.5         | 2             | 0.082                |
| 19  | 12  | 1200                  | 4               | 0.5         | 2             | 0.065                |
| 15  | 13  | 400                   | 2               | 1.5         | 2             | 0.031                |
| 21  | 14  | 1200                  | 2               | 1.5         | 2             | 0.056                |
| 24  | 15  | 400                   | 4               | 1.5         | 2             | 0.029                |
| 13  | 16  | 1200                  | 4               | 1.5         | 2             | 0.019                |
| 25  | 17  | 0                     | 3               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.021                |
| 23  | 18  | 1600                  | 3               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.036                |
| 8   | 19  | 800                   | 1               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.021                |
| 29  | 20  | 800                   | 5               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.035                |
| 16  | 21  | 800                   | 3               | 0           | 1.5           | 0.021                |
| 7   | 22  | 800                   | 3               | 2           | 1.5           | 0.033                |
| 20  | 23  | 800                   | 3               | 1           | 0.5           | 0.029                |
| 3   | 24  | 800                   | 3               | 1           | 2.5           | 0.042                |
| 2   | 25  | 800                   | 3               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.133                |
| 5   | 26  | 800                   | 3               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.112                |
| 26  | 27  | 800                   | 3               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.146                |
| 18  | 28  | 800                   | 3               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.138                |
| 9   | 29  | 800                   | 3               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.127                |
| 10  | 30  | 800                   | 3               | 1           | 1.5           | 0.134                |



**Figure 10.** Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of N and POME in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-17.



**Figure 11.** Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of P and POME in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-17

### Paulraj Ponnaiah, Harvie Shukri, Chandramohan M, Narendrakumar G, Antony V Samrot

Strain PHA-17 was able to accumulate the highest PHA concentration at 0.142 g/L under media composition of 1200 ng/mL of Clindamycin, 2 mL/10mL media of POME, 1.5g/L of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (N) and 1.0g/L of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>-KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> mixture (P/K) (Table 5). The statistical significance of the model equation was evaluated by F-test for analysis of variance (ANOVA), as shown in Table 6. The Model F-value of 17.74 implies the model is significant. There is only a 0.01% chance that a "Model F-Value" this large could occur due to noise. Values of "Prob > F" less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case linear term A, interactions AB, BC, BD, CD, quadratic A<sup>2</sup>, B<sup>2</sup>, C<sup>2</sup>, D<sup>2</sup> are significant model terms. Values greater than 0.1000 indicate the model terms are not significant. The "Lack of Fit F-value" of 4.64 implies there is a 5.21% chance that a "Lack of Fit F-value" this large could occur due to noise. The "Pred R-Squared" of 0.6959 is in reasonable agreement with the "Adj R-Squared" of 0.8899. "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio greater than 4 is desirable. Ratio of 11.964 indicates an adequate signal. Response surface graphs (Figure 8 - 12) clearly show the interaction of various concentrations of studied variables.



Figure 12. Graph showing the interaction of various concentrations of P and N in response to PHB accumulation by strain PHA-17.

| Source        | Sum of   | df | Mean             | F        | p-value         |
|---------------|----------|----|------------------|----------|-----------------|
|               | Squares  |    | Square           | Value    | Prob > F        |
| Model         | 0.061373 | 14 | 0.004384         | 15.76329 | < 0.0001        |
|               |          |    |                  |          | significant     |
| A-Clindamycin | 0.001946 | 1  | 0.001946         | 6.996716 | 0.0184          |
| B-POME        | 0.000367 | 1  | 0.000367         | 1.32104  | 0.2684          |
| C-N           | 0.000505 | 1  | 0.000505         | 1.816182 | 0.1978          |
| D-P           | 1.84E-07 | 1  | 1.84E-07         | 0.000661 | 0.9798          |
| AB            | 0.003028 | 1  | 0.003028         | 10.8872  | 0.0049          |
| AC            | 0.00036  | 1  | 0.00036          | 1.294671 | 0.2730          |
| AD            | 0.001724 | 1  | 0.001724         | 6.200336 | 0.0250          |
| BC            | 0.00578  | 1  | 0.00578          | 20.78303 | 0.0004          |
| BD            | 0.003303 | 1  | 0.003303         | 11.87829 | 0.0036          |
| CD            | 0.002973 | 1  | 0.002973         | 10.69024 | 0.0052          |
| A^2           | 0.015149 | 1  | 0.015149         | 54.47201 | < 0.0001        |
| B^2           | 0.01531  | 1  | 0.01531          | 55.05301 | < 0.0001        |
| C^2           | 0.015636 | 1  | 0.015636         | 56.22427 | < 0.0001        |
| D^2           | 0.012977 | 1  | 0.012977         | 46.66156 | < 0.0001        |
| Residual      | 0.004172 | 15 | 0.000278         |          |                 |
| Lack of Fit   | 0.00351  | 10 | 0.000351         | 2.653878 | 0.1465          |
|               |          |    |                  |          | not significant |
| Pure Error    | 0.000661 | 5  | 0.000132         |          |                 |
| Cor Total     | 0.065545 | 29 |                  |          |                 |
|               |          |    |                  |          |                 |
| Std. Dev.     | 0.005959 |    | <b>R-Squared</b> | 0.985533 |                 |
| Mean          | 0.066733 |    | Adj R-Squared    | 0.972031 |                 |
| C.V. %        | 8.929052 |    | Pred R-Squared   | 0.946418 |                 |
| PRESS         | 0.001973 |    | Adeq Precision   | 26.17638 |                 |
|               | I        | I  | _                | 1        | 1               |

Table 4. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of quadratic model for PHB accumulation by Strain PHA-11.

Table 5. Concentration of PHB in response to various concentration of media composition in strain PHA-17.

|     |     |                                  | 1                                 |                      | 1                      |                               |
|-----|-----|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Std | Run | Factor A: Clindamycin<br>(ng/ml) | Factor B: POME<br>(ml/10ml media) | Factor C: N<br>(g/L) | Factor D: P/K<br>(g/L) | Response 1: PHB/48 h<br>(g/L) |
| 22  | 1   | 400                              | 2                                 | 0.5                  | 1                      | 0.020                         |
| 30  | 2   | 1200                             | 2                                 | 0.5                  | 1                      | 0.051                         |
| 11  | 3   | 400                              | 4                                 | 0.5                  | 1                      | 0.022                         |
| 12  | 4   | 1200                             | 4                                 | 0.5                  | 1                      | 0.030                         |
| 4   | 5   | 400                              | 2                                 | 1.5                  | 1                      | 0.050                         |
| 17  | 6   | 1200                             | 2                                 | 1.5                  | 1                      | 0.142                         |
| 27  | 7   | 400                              | 4                                 | 1.5                  | 1                      | 0.020                         |
| 14  | 8   | 1200                             | 4                                 | 1.5                  | 1                      | 0.021                         |
| 6   | 9   | 400                              | 2                                 | 0.5                  | 2                      | 0.021                         |
| 1   | 10  | 1200                             | 2                                 | 0.5                  | 2                      | 0.033                         |
| 28  | 11  | 400                              | 4                                 | 0.5                  | 2                      | 0.075                         |
| 19  | 12  | 1200                             | 4                                 | 0.5                  | 2                      | 0.068                         |
| 15  | 13  | 400                              | 2                                 | 1.5                  | 2                      | 0.031                         |

#### Utilization of palm oil mill effluent and clindamycin for optimization of polyhydroxy [r] alkanoates production

| Std | Run | Factor A: Clindamycin<br>(ng/ml) | Factor B: POME<br>(ml/10ml media) | Factor C: N<br>(g/L) | Factor D: P/K<br>(g/L) | Response 1: PHB/48 h<br>(g/L) |
|-----|-----|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 21  | 14  | 1200                             | 2                                 | 1.5                  | 2                      | 0.056                         |
| 24  | 15  | 400                              | 4                                 | 1.5                  | 2                      | 0.029                         |
| 13  | 16  | 1200                             | 4                                 | 1.5                  | 2                      | 0.020                         |
| 25  | 17  | 0                                | 3                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.021                         |
| 23  | 18  | 1600                             | 3                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.036                         |
| 8   | 19  | 800                              | 1                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.021                         |
| 29  | 20  | 800                              | 5                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.036                         |
| 16  | 21  | 800                              | 3                                 | 0                    | 1.5                    | 0.021                         |
| 7   | 22  | 800                              | 3                                 | 2                    | 1.5                    | 0.032                         |
| 20  | 23  | 800                              | 3                                 | 1                    | 0.5                    | 0.029                         |
| 3   | 24  | 800                              | 3                                 | 1                    | 2.5                    | 0.041                         |
| 2   | 25  | 800                              | 3                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.132                         |
| 5   | 26  | 800                              | 3                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.114                         |
| 26  | 27  | 800                              | 3                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.125                         |
| 18  | 28  | 800                              | 3                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.132                         |
| 9   | 29  | 800                              | 3                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.135                         |
| 10  | 30  | 800                              | 3                                 | 1                    | 1.5                    | 0.133                         |

Table 6. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of quadratic model for PHB accumulation by strain PHA-17.

| Source        | Sum of   | df | Mean           | F        | p-value         |
|---------------|----------|----|----------------|----------|-----------------|
|               | Squares  |    | Square         | Value    | Prob > F        |
| Model         | 0.052676 | 14 | 0.003763       | 17.73907 | < 0.0001        |
|               |          |    |                |          | significant     |
| A-Clindamycin | 0.001395 | 1  | 0.001395       | 6.578682 | 0.0216          |
| <b>B-POME</b> | 0.00033  | 1  | 0.00033        | 1.556026 | 0.2314          |
| C-N           | 0.00021  | 1  | 0.00021        | 0.99027  | 0.3355          |
| D-P           | 4.17E-08 | 1  | 4.17E-08       | 0.000196 | 0.9890          |
| AB            | 0.001743 | 1  | 0.001743       | 8.217901 | 0.0118          |
| AC            | 0.000264 | 1  | 0.000264       | 1.244958 | 0.2821          |
| AD            | 0.00077  | 1  | 0.00077        | 3.630563 | 0.0761          |
| BC            | 0.004193 | 1  | 0.004193       | 19.7664  | 0.0005          |
| BD            | 0.003053 | 1  | 0.003053       | 14.39171 | 0.0018          |
| CD            | 0.001828 | 1  | 0.001828       | 8.616288 | 0.0102          |
| A^2           | 0.014209 | 1  | 0.014209       | 66.99024 | < 0.0001        |
| B^2           | 0.014209 | 1  | 0.014209       | 66.99024 | < 0.0001        |
| C^2           | 0.01484  | 1  | 0.01484        | 69.96585 | < 0.0001        |
| D^2           | 0.012253 | 1  | 0.012253       | 57.76606 | < 0.0001        |
| Residual      | 0.003182 | 15 | 0.000212       |          |                 |
| Lack of Fit   | 0.002872 | 10 | 0.000287       | 4.639876 | 0.0521          |
|               |          |    |                |          | not significant |
| Pure Error    | 0.00031  | 5  | 6.19E-05       |          |                 |
| Cor Total     | 0.055857 | 29 |                |          |                 |
| Std. Dev.     | 0.014564 |    | R-Squared      | 0.943041 |                 |
| Mean          | 0.056567 |    | Adj R-Squared  | 0.889879 |                 |
| C.V. %        | 25.74634 |    | Pred R-Squared | 0.695852 |                 |
| PRESS         | 0.016989 |    | Adeq Precision | 11.96407 |                 |

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Totally 31 organisms were isolated from the POME effluent spillage area. Amongst the 31 organisms, 7 organisms were found to accumulate more PHA and from the seven, two potential PHA accumulating organisms were isolated. Both the organisms were allowed to accumulate PHA in the POME and

### 5. REFERENCES

1. Rydz, J.; Sikorska, W.; Kyulavska, M.; Christova, D. Polyester-based (bio) degradable polymers as environmentally friendly materials for sustainable development. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2014**, *16*, 564–596, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms16010564.

2. Nisha J.; Mudaliar, N.; Senthilkumar, P.; Narendrakumar. G.; Samrot, A.V. Influence of substrate concentration in accumulation pattern of poly(R) hydroxyalkonoate in *Pseudomonas putida* SU-8. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* **2012**, *6*, 3623-3630.

clindamycin containing medium. Both the PHA- 11 and PHA -17 i.e. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus* sp respectively were found to accumulate more PHA in the medium containing 1200 ng/mL of Clindamycin, 2 mL / 10mL media of POME, 1.5g/L of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (N) and 1.0g/L of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>-KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> mixture (P/K).

3. Samrot, A.V.; Reddy, A.; Sukeetha, S.; Senthilkumar, P. Accumulation of Poly[(*R*)-3-Hydroxyalkanoates] in *Enterobacter cloacae* SU-1 during growth with two different carbon sources in batch culture. *Appl Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *163*, 195-203, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-010-9028-7</u>.

4. Poirier, Y. Green chemistry yields a better plastic. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **1999**, *17*, 960–961, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/13652</u>.

5. Anderson, A.J.; Dawes, E.A. Occurrence, metabolism, metabolic role, and industrial uses of bacterial polyhydroxyalkanoates. *Microbiol Rev.* **1990**, *54*, 450-452.

6. Kamm, B.; Kamm, M. Principles of biorefineries. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2004**, *64*, 137–145, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-003-1537-7.

7. Senthilkumar, P.; Dawn, S.S.; Samanvitha, S.K.; Saipriya, C.; Samrot, A.V. Surfactant Mediated Synthesis of Polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) Nanoparticles for Sustained Drug Delivery. *IET nanobiotechnology* **2019**, https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-nbt.2018.5053.

8. Senthilkumar, P.; Dawn, S.S.; Samanvitha, K.S.; Kumar, S.S.; Kumar, N.; Samrot, A.V. Optimization and characterization of poly[R]hydroxyalkanoate of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* SU-1 to utilize in nanoparticle synthesis for curcumin delivery. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology* **2017**, *12*, 292–298, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2017.10.019</u>.

9. Senthilkumar, P.; Dawn, S.S.; Saipriya, C.; Samrot AV. Surfactant (span20) influenced synthesis of polyhydroxybutyrate nanoparticles for hydrophobic drug delivery. *Rasayan Journal of Chemistry* **2018**, *11*, 1686 – 1695.

10. Devi, A.B.; Nachiyar, V.; Kaviyarasi, T.; Samrot, A.V. Characterization of polyhydroxybutyrate synthesized by *Bacillus cereus. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci.* **2015**, *7*, 140-144.

11. Senthil, K.P.; Dawn, S.S.; Samrot, A.V.; Kumar, G.N.; Raj, A.D. Production, optimization and characterization of poly[R]hydroxyalkanoate from *Enterobacter* sp SU16. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*. **2016**, *9*, https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i45/87822.

12. Samrot, A.V.; Bhakyalakshmi, M.; Venkatraman, K.L.; Sahiti, K.; Philip, S.A; Jahnavi, T.; Senthilkumar, P. Optimization and characterization of poly[R]hydroxyalkanoates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia* **2015**, *12*, <u>https://doi.org/10.13005/bbra/1883</u>.

13. Lillo, J.G.; Rodriguez-Valera, F. Effects of culture conditions on  $poly(\beta-hydroxybutyric acid)$  production by *Haloferax mediterranei*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1990**, *56*, 2517-2521.

14. Khanna, S.; Srivastava, A.K. Recent advances in microbial polyhydroxyalkanoates. *Process Biochem* **2005**, *40*, 607-619, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2004.01.053</u>.

15. Colombo, B.; Favini, F.; Scaglia, B.; Sciarria, T.P.; D'Imporzano, G.; Pognani, M.; Alekseeva, A.; Eisele, G.; Cosentino, C.; Adani, F. Enhanced polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) production from the organic fraction of municipal solid waste by using mixed microbial culture. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* **2017**, *10*, 201, <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0888-8</u>.

16. Doi, Y. Microbial, synthesis, physical properties and biodegradability of polyhydroxyalkanoates. *Macromolecular Symposia* **1995**, *98*, 585-599, https://doi.org/10.1002/masy.19950980150.

17. Hassan, M.A.; Yee, L.; Yee, P.L.; Ariffin, H.; Raha, A.R.; Shirai, Y.; Sudesh, K. Sustainable production of polyhydroxyalkanoates from renewable oil-palm biomass. *Biomass and Bioenergy* **2013**, *50*, 1-9, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.10.014.

18. AIM. 2011. National biomass strategy 2020: New wealth creation for Malaysia's palm oil industry. Cyberjaya MY: Agensi Inovasi Malaysia, pp. 32.

19. Ostle, A.G., Holt, J.G.. Nile blue A as a fluorescent stain for poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **1982**, 44(1), 238-41.

20. Chaudhry, W.N.; Jamil, N.; Ali, A.; Ayaz, M.H.; Hasnain, S. Screening for polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA)-producing bacterial strains and comparison of PHA production from various inexpensive carbon sources. *Annals of Microbiology*, **2011**, *61*, 623 – 629, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-010-0181-6.

**21.**Bahalia, P. Estimation of kinetic parameters for production of PHB. B.Tech Biotechnology Engineeing. Department of Biotechnology and Medical Engineering, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, Rourkela-769008.

22. Rothermich MM, Guerrero R, Lenz RW, Goodwin S. Characterization, seasonal occurrence, and diel fluctuation of poly(hydroxyalkanoate) in photosynthetic microbial mats. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2000;66(10):4279–4291. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.66.10.4279-4291.2000</u>.



© 2019 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).