


Management of postoperative complications in patients with acute peritonitis

Andriy F. Grynchuk¹, Ihor Iu. Polianskiy¹, Fedir V. Grynchuk¹, Michael I. Sheremet^{1,*} , Petro V. Moroz¹, Andriy V. Bocharov¹, Volodymyr V. Andriets¹

¹Department of Surgery №1, Higher State Educational Establishment "Bukovinian State Medical University", Ukraine

*corresponding author e-mail address: mihayl71@gmail.com | Scopus ID [57193774935](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9152-1000)

ABSTRACT

Acute peritonitis remains one of the most severe and threatening abdominal cavity disease. An important component of the treatment of acute peritonitis is the ability to prognosis adequately the possibility of postoperative complications, which allows using appropriate preventive measures. For the case, numerous methods, based on the account of various parameters, are developed. Nevertheless, none of them is fully accepted, and useful. The purpose was to develop an informative scale for postoperative complications predicting. The retrospective analysis of the outcome of treatment of 169 patients with acute surgical pathology of abdominal organs complicated by various forms of peritonitis, 79 of whom developed postoperative complications results are presented. The dependence of occurrence and severity of complications on the nature of the underlying disease, clinical manifestations of peritonitis before surgery, anthropometric data research, laboratory methods, Mannheim peritonitis index parameters, comorbidity class, and age were studied, using the analysis of variance. A scale, according to which the prediction of complications is conducted in two stages, was developed. Before the surgery, we estimate the previous risk according to the nature of the underlying disease, clinical manifestations of peritonitis, comorbidity class. According to identified changes, the final estimation due to the nature of the underlying disease, Mannheim peritonitis index parameters, comorbidity class, stab neutrophil leukocytes number, use of programmed peritoneal cavity sanations is being made during the operation. These indicators provided a certain number of points. Due to these points, patients were referred to several groups: normal group (less than 18), increased (18-25), and medium (26-34) and high (more than 35) the risk of complications. The developed scale makes it possible to apply the necessary preventive measures at all stages of treatment, since preoperative preparation.

Keywords: *Acute peritonitis; postoperative complications; prognosis.*

1. INTRODUCTION

An important component of the treatment of acute peritonitis is the ability to prognose adequately the possibility of postoperative complications, which allows to use appropriate preventive measures [1-7]. For the case, mentioned above, numerous methods, based on the account of various parameters [8-12], are developed. Nevertheless, none of them is fully accepted, due to several reasons, including a large number of parameters that determine the complexity of use, low informative methods based on a small number of criteria, etc. As a result, APACHE, SAPS, SOFA and other scales [8-16] which allow estimating the severity of the patients and the possibility of death are suggested for predicting the effects of treatment. Mannheim peritonitis index (MPI) [5] is acknowledged in many countries, but its only function

is determination of the peritonitis severity. It is clear, that with the increasing of peritonitis severity and the patients condition, the probability of postoperative complications increases, but mentioned above methods do not allow differentiating the risk of separate postoperative complications [17-20]. We should notice that the vast majority of prognostic scales allow determining postoperative complications risk only after the operation. These reasons limit the applicability of preventive measures during the preoperative preparation. Therefore, the question of informative prognostic scale development is important, so the aim of the study is to develop an informative scale for postoperative complications predicting.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The retrospective analysis of the 169 patients with acute surgical pathology, complicated by various forms of peritonitis, aged 17 to 84 years treatment consequences was conducted. Male - 98, female - 71 were chosen. There were 51 cases of acute appendicitis, 26 - acute intestinal obstruction (non malignant), 23 - gastroduodenal ulcer perforations, 16 - incarcerated hernia, 13 - acute cholecystitis and colon cancer, complicated by intestinal obstruction, 4 - obstetrical and gynecological pathology, 3 - perforation and injuries of the small intestine, 2 - acute pancreatitis and postoperative peritonitis and 2 cases of other diseases among them. 45 patients were diagnosed with local peritonitis 53 - with diffuse, 57 with poured, 13 with total. 79 patients had

postoperative complications, including 24 cases of inflammation and wound suppuration, 5 - eventerations, 14 - intra-abdominal abscesses and infiltrates, 18 - of intestinal suture failure, 18 - continuous peritonitis. The death occurred within 39 patients. 123 patients were diagnosed with comorbidities.

The clinical and anthropometric data, laboratory methods, MPI parameters, comorbidity class (CC) [7], age were analysed. The factor impact was studied, using the variance analysis. The Clinical manifestations of peritonitis before the operations were evaluated in points: local peritonitis - 2, diffuse - 4, diffuse or total - 6 points. To create the possibility of mathematical presence and severity of complications processing was evaluated as

follows: 0 - no POC, 1 - inflammatory wound complications 2 - wound suppuration and eventration, 3 - bordered intra-abdominal complications, 4 -suture leakage and diffuse peritonitis, 5 - total

peritonitis with systemic complications, that lead to death. Numerical characteristics of surgical diseases were conferred according to the univariate variance analysis results.

3. RESULTS

A scale, under which POC forecasting was carried out in two stages, was developed by us. In the first phase, before the operation, the scale included the following parameters: the nature of the underlying disease and peritonitis, parameters of the comorbidity class (Table 1). The results of variance analysis (Table 2) confirmed the statistically significant dependence of the POC from the indicators that were selected for prediction. It is significant, that the involvement of the indicators analysis which is widely used to predict (age, leukocyte count, urea, creatinine, etc.) [1,4], no statistically significant dependence of POC parameters dispersion on the complex of the determined factors was found. This caused the usage opportunity of the factors, just listed in table 1.

According to the number of points, determined according to the scale, patients previously divided into several groups: normal (2-4 points), increased (5-7 points), medium (8-9 points) and high (more than 10 points) POC risk (Fig. 1). Such allocation of risk groups allows using the necessary POC prevention measures at the stage of preoperative preparation.




Figure 1. Means and 95,0 Percent Scheffe Intervals.

The final risk determination is made, based on the data of intraoperative revision and laboratory tests. The clinical and laboratory parameters analysis showed that POC parameters dispersion is statistically significantly explained by the insertion of the data, presented in Table 3. Based on the conducted analysis, a specified scale is created for the second phase prediction (Table 4). The programmed peritoneal cavity sanitation indicators were extra included, as the repeated surgery increases the POC risk [3, 15-18].

Risk groups differentiation is conducted as follows: less than 18 points - normal, 18-25 points - increased (primarily wound complications), 26-34 points - average (abscesses, infiltrates, diffuse peritonitis, suture failure), more than 35 points - high risk (severe peritonitis, sepsis), what was confirmed by the results of the univariate variance analysis (Fig. 2). The allocation of a particular patient to a specific group allows using reasonably necessary preventive measures during surgery and in the postoperative period.




Figure 2. Means and 95,0 Percent Scheffe Intervals.

Table 1. A scale for postoperative septic complications of acute peritonitis predicting.

Criteria	Points
Acute appendicitis, acute cholecystitis simple, gynecological pathology, intestinal obstruction (non-tumor) without necrosis.	1
Intestinal obstruction (non-tumor) with necrosis, acute destructive cholecystitis, perforation of gastroduodenal ulcers, small intestine, stomach cancer, acute peptic ulcer bleeding, obstetrical pathology.	2
Abdominal trauma, tumor obstruction of the colon, Crohn's disease, acute pancreatitis, mesenteric thrombosis, postoperative peritonitis.	3
Local peritonitis	2
Diffuse peritonitis	4
Total peritonitis	6
No class	0
Comorbidity class 0	0
Comorbidity class 1	1
Comorbidity class 2	2
Comorbidity class 3	3

Table 2. Results of the impact of certain factors on the development of postoperative complications in studied patients variance analysis.

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F-Ratio	P-Value
CC	27,38	3	9,14	4,79	0,003
Peritonitis	18,31	2	9,16	4,81	0,009
Main diagnosis	69,73	18	3,87	2,03	0,011
Residual	76,14	45	1,90	-	-
Total (corrected)	497,76	168	-	-	-

Table 3. Results of the impact of certain factors on the development of postoperative complications in studied patients variance analysis.

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F-Ratio	P-Value
CC	27,06	3	9,09	8,00	0,0001
Peritonitis	91,96	28	3,28	2,91	0,000
Stab neutrophils	86,69	2	38,46	38,46	0,000
Main diagnosis	4,24	2	1,88	1,88	0,156
Residual	49,87	33	1,12	-	-
Total (corrected)	497,76	168	-	-	-

Table 4. A scale for preoperative complications predicting.

Criteria	Points
Characteristics of surgical pathology	Due to table 1
Characteristics peritonitis	MPI
Characteristics of the concomitant disease	Due to table 1
Content of the stab neutrophils (%)	
less than 3 or more than 37	3
26 - 36	2
4 - 25	0
The use of programmed sanitation	2

4. CONCLUSIONS

The well-known scales, like APACHE, SAPS, SOFA and other allow to estimate the severity of the patients' state and the possibility of death but are not useful for treatment complication in acute peritonitis patients prognosis.

According to dispersion analysis, the frequently used criterions, like age, arterial pressure, pulse, protein, bilirubin, duration of disease etc., are not useful for the postoperative complications prognosis.

The conducted analysis demonstrated, that dispersion of the postoperative complications severity statistically significant depends on such factors: comorbidity class (F-criterion=4,79, p=0,003), prevalence of peritonitis (F-criterion=4,81, p=0,009), and type of surgical pathology (F-criterion=2,03, p=0,011).

Before the operation, according to the scale, the groups of risk are being allocated: normal risk (2-4 points), increased (5-7), medium (8-9), high risk (10 points and more).

On the second stage (in the operation), Mannheim peritonitis index, stab neutrophils, the use of programmed sanitation of abdominal cavity are being included to the scale.

The conducted analysis demonstrated, that dispersion of the postoperative complications severity statistically significant depends on chosen factors: Primary diagnosis (F-criterion=5,88, p=0,006), comorbidity class (F-criterion=10,72, p=0,000), Mannheim peritonitis index (F-criterion=3,64, p=0,0001), stab neutrophils (F-criterion=2,54, p=0,003).

On the second stage (in the operation), according to the scale, the groups of risk are being allocated: normal risk (less than 18 points), increased (18-25), medium (26-34), high risk (35 points and more).

Conducting the prediction in two phases - before and during surgery, can differentially apply preventive measures in the course of preoperative preparation, during the operation and in the postoperative period treatment.

5. REFERENCES

1. Andryushchenko, V.P.; Fedorenko, S.T.; Andryushchenko, D.V. Acute purulent peritonitis: leading components of modern surgical tactics. *Klin anat and oper khirurg.* **2012**, *11*, 91-3. (in Ukrainian).
2. Kryvoruchko, I.A.; Antonova, M.S. Early and late complications in the treatment of patients with abdominal sepsis. *Kharkiv khirurg shkola* **2016**, *2*, 56-60. (In Ukrainian).
3. Polianskyi, I.; Hrynychuk, F.V.; Andriets, V.V.; Maksym'iuk, V.V.; Brodovskyi, V.V.; Voitiv, Y.I. Classification of acute peritonitis. *Klin anat ta oper khirurg.* **2012**, *11*, 68-70. (In Ukrainian).
4. Germer, C.T.; Eckmann, C. Peritonitis. *Der Chirurg.* **2016**, *87*, 3-4. <https://doi.org/doi: 10.1007/s00104-015-0118-5>.
5. Baba, G.P.N.; Bhaskar, R.K.V. A study of acute peritonitis: evaluation of its mortality and morbidity. *Int Surg J.* **2016**, *3*, 663-668, <https://doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20161140>.
6. van Ruler, O.M.; Boermeester, A. Surgical treatment of secondary peritonitis A continuing problem. *Der Chirurg.* **2017**, *88*, 1-6, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00104-015-0121-x>.
7. Grynchuk, F.V. New scale for assessing comorbidity in emergency abdominal surgery. *Art of Medicine* **2018**, *4*, 55-7, (In Ukrainian).
8. Grynchuk, A.F. Complex diagnostic and prognosis of acute peritonitis. *Art of Medicine* **2018**, *4*, 52-55.
9. Linder, M.M.; Washa, H.; Feldmann, U. Der Mannheimer Peritonitis-Index. *Chirurg.* **1987**, *58*, 84-92.
10. Weber, D.G.; Bendinelli, C.; Balogh, Z.J. Damage control surgery for abdominal emergencies. *Br J Surg.* **2014**, *101*, e109-e118, <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9360>.
11. Atema, J.J.; Gans, S.L.; Boermeester, M.A. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the open abdomen and temporary abdominal closure techniques in non-trauma patients. *World J Surg.* **2015**, *39*, 912-925, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-014-2883-6>.
12. Kiewiet, J.J.; van Ruler, O.; Boermeester, M.A.; Reitsma, J.B. A decision rule to aid selection of patients with abdominal sepsis requiring a relaparotomy. *BMC Surg.* **2013**, *13*, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2482-13-28>.
13. Sartelli, M.; Griffiths, E.A.; Nestori, M. The challenge of post-operative peritonitis after gastrointestinal surgery. *Updates Surg.* **2015**, *67*, 373-381, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13304-015-0324-1>.
14. Kanazawa, A.; Yamana, T.; Okamoto, K.; Sahara, R. Risk factors for postoperative intra-abdominal septic complications after bowel resection in patients with Crohn's disease. *Dis Colon Rectum* **2012**, *55*, 957-962, <https://doi.org/10.1097/DCR.0b013e3182617716>.

15. Bellolio, F.; Cohen, Z.; Macrae, H.M.; et al. Outcomes following surgery for perforating Crohn's disease. *Br J Surg* **2013**, *100*, 1344–1348, <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9212>.

16. Alves, A.; Panis, Y.; Bouhnik, Y.; Pocard, M.; Vicaut, E.; Valleur, P. Risk factors for intra-abdominal septic complications after a first ileocecal resection for Crohn's disease: a multivariate analysis in 161 consecutive patients. *Dis Colon Rectum* **2007**, *50*, 331–336, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10350-006-0782-0>.

17. Thirumalagiri, V.R.; Chandra, H. Acute peritonitis secondary to hollow viscous perforation: a clinical study. *Int Surg J* **2017**, *4*, 2262–2269, <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20172778>.

18. Shelton, J.; Brown, J.; Young, J. Preoperative C-reactive protein predicts the severity and likelihood of complications

following appendicectomy. *Ann Royal Coll Surg England* **2014**, *96*, 369–372, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1308%2F003588414X13946184901722>.

19. Suh, S.W.; Choi, Y.S.; Park, J.; Kim, B.G.; Cha, S.J.; Park, S.J.; Chang, I.T. Clinical factors for distinguishing perforated from nonperforated appendicitis. *Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy Percutaneous Tech* **2011**, *21*, 72–75, <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLE.0b013e31820e762c>.

20. Kaya, B.; Sana, B.; Eris, C.; Karabulut, K.; Bat, O.; Kutanis, R. The Diagnostic Value of D-dimer, Procalcitonin and crp in acute appendicitis. *Int J Med Sci* **2012**, *9*, 909–915, <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.4733>.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We undersign, certificate that we do not have any financial or personal relationships that might bias the content of this work.



© 2020 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).