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Abstract: Gastropods are one of the important sea-foods rich in protein, glycogen, minerals, and low-fat content. The proximate composition such as protein, carbohydrate, and lipid content of the smoked meat of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* was 11.84, 4.28, 2.88, and 8.96, 4.74, 3.04 % respectively. The moisture content of both the smoked meat was gradually increased from 2.2 -12.6% during storage at room temperature. The spoilage indicators such as Free Fatty Acids, TMA – N (Trimethylamine – Nitrogen), and TVB – N (Total Volatile Base- Nitrogen) values were found to be increased as storage time increased. The increasing TPC (Total Plate Count) and TFC (Total Fungal Count) and organoleptic characteristics were also increasing in both smoked meat during increased storage. This study indicated that the quality of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* smoked meat were found to be good and safe for human consumption even after 180 days of storage.
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1. Introduction

Fish and fish products provide the world's prime source of high-quality protein is consumed as food all over the world. Most of the people rely on fish as their primary source of animal protein. Since fish and fishery products contains more than 60% of the total protein which is a vital component for adults that resulted in global increase in the consumption in rural areas[1]. Finfishes are also processed into various food and non-food products. Fish can also be used as a medicine, ground into vitamins or processed into cosmetics, lubricants, varnishes, soap, and margarine. Since sea-food is a perishable food, preservation techniques including freezing, chemical preservation, salting, and smoking have been utilized to improve the microbial safety and extend the shelf life of fish [2]. Eyo [3] stated that smoking involves heat application to remove water and inhibits bacterial and enzymatic action on fish. Effect of Brining and smoking on color and sensory perception are at least as important as the preservative effect due to the use of modern refrigeration systems [4]. Smoking is an effective
method of fish processing that retains more protein value and removes the moisture content, which helps in preservation [5]. Smoking is often recommended as a masking agent for boar taint [6]. Smoking is one of the preservation methods, which has an effect on fish protein denaturation that resulted in physical and chemical structural changes and biological availability of protein [7]. Malarut and Vangnai [8] reported neem beechwood chips on different qualities of smoked sausages could be a low-cost alternative used in the meat industry. In developing countries, smoked fish is a major source of animal protein largely produced by hot-smoking in traditional kilns using fuelwood [9].

Next to finfishes and crustaceans gastropod is one of the important fishery resources in Tuticorin, South East Coast of India. In the Gulf of Mannar region, *C. ramosus* (Muricidae) and *V. cochlidium* (Melongenidae) are collected mainly through skin diving, by-catch from trawl, and modified gill nets [10]. Consumption of gastropods is restricted only to a small section of the fisherfolk. They are not aware of the nutritive value of this gastropod, and thus the meat is wasted in the shore itself [11]. Keeping this in mind, the present study was undertaken to develop smoked products from *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* based on consumer preference, and their quality was assessed for a period of 6 months during storage at room temperature.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Preparation of smoked products from *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* meat.

The cleaned edible meat of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* was cut into thin round slices of about 1mm thickness for smoking purposes. The meat slices were blanched separately in 5% boiling brine solution for 5 minutes. Then the meat was drained and spread on trays and air-dried for 20 minutes to facilitate smoke penetration. A conventional vertical type-Kiln was used for smoking the meat by burning sawdust. During smoking, samples were drawn every 15 minutes to observe the effect of smoking time on the quality of the meat. The good quality smoked meat (5% brine, 60 minutes) was collected from the kiln and dried in electrical drier, and both meats were packed in separate polythene bags. The well-dried smoked products were fried for consumption. The stored smoked products were drawn bimonthly to analyze biochemical, microbiological, and organoleptic characteristics.

#### 2.2 Biochemical analysis.

The proximate composition, such as protein, carbohydrate, and lipid content, was estimated by following the method of AOAC [12]. The biochemical quality such as pH, moisture, FFA [13], TMA-N, and TVB-N were analyzed according to the Conway micro diffusion method of Beatty and Gibbons[14] during storage at ambient temperature.

#### 2.3 Microbiological analysis.

Enumeration of Total Plate Count (TPC) and TFC (Total Fungal Count) were done as per the methods of the American Public Health Association [15]. Enumeration of pathogenic bacteria like *E.coli, Vibrio* spp, and *Salmonella* spp was carried out by following the methods of the US Food and Drug Administration [16].
2.4. Sensory analysis.

The sensory analysis of both smoked meat was carried out by frying the meat in edible oil and serving a taste panel of 6 to 8 members. The overall acceptability was determined using a hedonic scale of 1 to 9 [17]. Products with scores above 6 were considered as good and below 5 as poor and unacceptable.

2.5. Statistical analysis.

All the analyses were done with three samples, and the results are illustrated as mean ± standard deviation.

3. Results and Discussion

The protein, carbohydrate, and lipid content of the smoked products of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* were 11.84, 4.28, 2.88, and 8.96, 4.74, 3.04, respectively (Table 1a.). The smoked meat of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* had a good level of protein, carbohydrate content, and low lipid content. Shanthini and Patterson [18] have reported similar high protein and low lipid content in the smoked meat of *Pleuroloca trapezium*. Another research study stated that the different smoking process significantly decreased the lipid content of fish [19]. Nunoo *et al.* [20] found that there was a low-fat content in smoked fish, which is similar to our study results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th><em>C. ramosus</em></th>
<th><em>V. cochlidium</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>11.84 ± 1</td>
<td>8.96 ±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (%)</td>
<td>4.28±0.9</td>
<td>4.74 ±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid (%)</td>
<td>2.88 ±0.5</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The biochemical and microbiological characteristics of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* smoked meat are presented in Table 1b and 1c. The pH levels of both the smoked meat were slightly acidic and ranged from 6.58 to 5.87 during the storage at ambient temperature. Similar pH ranging from 6.1 to 6.9 was observed by Kickzorowska *et al.* [21] in smoked fish. The level of pH showed a decreasing trend during storage. The pH level is the main quality indicator for foods. The level of pH in both the products showed a decreasing trend during storage. The acidic pH of both smoked meat during storage may be due to phenolic/acidic constituents deposited on the gastropod meat during smoking.

The changes in the moisture level of smoked meat of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* during storage at ambient temperature are presented in Table 1b and 1c. The percentage of moisture content was 2.7 ± 0.01 and 2.28 ± 0.01, respectively. It gradually increased during storage and reached a maximum level of 12.26 ± 0.02 and 8.26 ± 0.01, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>60th Day</th>
<th>120th Day</th>
<th>180th Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.58±0.01</td>
<td>6.46±0.01</td>
<td>6.14±0.01</td>
<td>5.87±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>2.7±0.01</td>
<td>4.89±0.005</td>
<td>6.94±0.01</td>
<td>12.26±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (% oleic acid)</td>
<td>0.026±0.001</td>
<td>0.056±0.001</td>
<td>0.092±0.001</td>
<td>0.136±0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC (x 10^2 CFU/g)</td>
<td>46±1.15</td>
<td>63±1.15</td>
<td>88 ± 1.5</td>
<td>104±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFC (x 10^2 CFU/g)</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>0.47±1.15</td>
<td>0.47±1.15</td>
<td>0.60±1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1c. Biochemical and microbiological characteristics of *V. cochlidium* smoked product during storage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>60th Day</th>
<th>120th Day</th>
<th>180th Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.51±0.005</td>
<td>6.47±0.01</td>
<td>6.2±0.01</td>
<td>5.9±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>2.28±0.01</td>
<td>4.37±0.01</td>
<td>7.5±0.01</td>
<td>8.26±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (% oleic acid)</td>
<td>0.023±0.001</td>
<td>0.046±0.001</td>
<td>0.089±0.001</td>
<td>0.128±0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC (x 10^2 CFU/g)</td>
<td>42±1.15</td>
<td>56±0.5</td>
<td>79±0.5</td>
<td>94±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFC (x 10^2 CFU/g)</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>0.47±1.15</td>
<td>0.778±1.15</td>
<td>0.778±0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A significant difference was noticed with the increasing storage period of both the smoked meat. Moisture levels play an important role in the spoilage. The moisture content of the smoked meats gradually increased during the storage period of 180 days. The increase in moisture level may have influence on microbial content. The moisture level of both smoked gastropod meat was found to be less than 20%, which was in agreement with the work of Adebowale *et al.* [22].

The changes in Free Fatty Acid (FFA) content (% oleic acid) during storage at ambient temperature are given in Table 1b and 1c. The FFA content of *V. cochlidium* and *C. ramosus* smoked meat were increased slowly after 180 days of storage. The values of FFA in both the products were low in the initial period but showed an increasing trend during the storage period. The increase in FFA content at the end of the storage period showed that there might have been a certain degree of lipid hydrolysis. Similar results were noted in marine gastropod pickle developed from *C. ramosus* [23]. Another study suggested that the phytonutrient supplementation may reduce accumulation of primary and secondary lipid oxidation products during storage in both muscle and adipose tissue of pork [24].

The Trimethylamine Nitrogen (TMA-N) and Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVB-N) of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* smoked meat are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. The TMA-N and TVB-N levels of both the smoked meat increased. The TMA – N and TVB – N (mg / 100 g) content of both the smoked meat was more significant during storage. The increase of TMA – N values of both smoked meat may be due to the increase in moisture content during the storage. TMA-N has been found to be a useful index of spoilage and parameter for freshness assessment of sea-food. TMA – N is produced as a result of bacterial reduction of TMAO [14]. In both smoked meats it was found to be lesser than 15 mg / 100g, the limit of acceptability, and showed an increasing trend during the storage period. The increase of TMA – N values in both smoked meat may be due to the increase in moisture content during the storage.

The increase of TVB-N during the storage period may be due to microbial activity, moisture, and storage temperature, which is in accordance with Kumar *et al.* [25]. The TVB – N values of both the smoked meat were well within the acceptability limit of 30 mg 100 g⁻¹ [26]. The pattern of increase of TVB – N was also similar, observed in smoked fishes, and did not affect the organoleptic qualities [27]. Daramola *et al.* [28] reported a similar increasing trend of TVB-N value with storage time in the hot smoked *Clarias gariepinus* sample. As storage period increases the total volatile base increases in brine smoked fish which is higher (24.12±0.53) than the pepper coated smoked fish (21.61±0.56) [29].

Spoilage by microorganisms is a major part of the quality deterioration of smoked fish during storage [30]. Detection of food contamination by bacteria is a major concern in the food sector [31]. The Total plate counts of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* smoked meat during storage at ambient temperature are given in Table 1b and 1c. TPC and TFC of both meats were found to be increasing as the storage period increased (Table 1a and 1b). These levels were well within the permissible level of fishery products, and it may be due to the antibacterial action of the chemical compounds. Patience *et al.* [32] stated that there was a significant
difference in the mycoflora counts of smoked fish from different markets in Nigeria. Similar results were observed by Likongwe et al.[33] with respect to total viable bacterial counts of smoked catfish \((5.6 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/g}, \ 1.9 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/g})\) processed in traditional and improved smoking Kiln. Pathogenic bacteria like \(E. \ coli\), \(salmonella\), and \(vibrio\) were not enumerated in both the products throughout the storage period. Identical results were reported by Ratsimba et al. [34] in kitoza, a smoked meat product of Madagascar. There was no \(Salmonella\), \(Listeria \ monocytogenes\), and \(Staphylococcus \ aureus\) was found in smoke-dried fish developed in Benin[35]. A higher count of Yeast and Mold spp. were isolated in smoked \(Tenualosa \ ilisha, \ Oreochromis \ mossambicus, \ Pangasius \ hypophthalmus\) during storage [36]. Similarly, the TPC among catfish steaks within each treatment or control group tended to increase and reached approximately 3 log CFU g \(^{-1}\) noticed at 6 weeks storage period [37]. As the storage period increased, products may absorb moisture from the environment, which favors the growth of microorganisms [38]. To improve the quality of smoked fish, best practices could be developed to avoid mostly the fungal contaminations [39]. Similar fungal contaminants were observed in Smoked catfish and reported by Chukwuemeka et al. [40].

Figure 1. Changes in TMA - N level of \(C. \ ramosus\) and \(V. \ cochlidium\) smoked meat stored at ambient temperature.

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC111.76057613)

Figure 2. Changes in TVB – N level of \(C. \ ramosus\) and \(V. \ cochlidium\) smoked meat stored at ambient temperature.

![Figure 2](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)
The organoleptic characteristics of smoked meat of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* during storage at ambient temperature are given in Table 2a and 2b. The sensory scores of *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* remained within the acceptability limit throughout the storage period. The overall acceptability of both smoked meat ranged from $8.8 \pm 0.05 - 7.8 \pm 0.005$ during the storage period. The organoleptic characters of both the smoked meat were significant during increased storage. In *C. ramosus*, the color of the smoked meat was found to be not good. The sensory evaluation of both the products at ambient temperature resulted in good sensory qualities throughout the storage period. Quality appreciation is mainly based on sensory attributes such as texture, color, taste, and odor for smoke-dried fishes [41]. There was a decreasing trend of odor and texture in fish due to smoking that acts upon microbiological, enzymatic and chemical deteriorative alteration. In the present study, the sensory scores for both smoked meats showed a gradual decrease during 180 days of storage, but within the acceptability limit, and this may be due to the changes in biochemical and microbiological parameters. For good consumer acceptance, the perceivable sensory attributes are appearance, color, taste, and texture, and the synthesized judgments of all quality attributes provide the overall quality of the product [44]. Shikoki and Aminigo [45] reported that all the sensory parameters remained acceptable during the entire storage period of smoke-dried fish. Osibona *et al.* [46] observed that the storage containers play a vital role in the preservation of smoke-dried fish, which recommended the use of airtight storage containers for smoked fish.

### Table 2a. Organoleptic characteristics of smoked product of *C. ramosus* during storage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organoleptic characters</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>60th day</th>
<th>120th day</th>
<th>180th day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>8.7±0.1</td>
<td>8.5±0.1</td>
<td>8.25±0.01</td>
<td>8.0±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>8.5±0.1</td>
<td>8.5±0.1</td>
<td>8.2±0.01</td>
<td>7.85±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odour</td>
<td>8.6±0.05</td>
<td>8.2±0.01</td>
<td>8.2±0.01</td>
<td>8.0±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>8.5±0.1</td>
<td>8.5±0.1</td>
<td>8.0±0.06</td>
<td>7.5±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>8.2±0.01</td>
<td>8.0±005</td>
<td>8.0±0.02</td>
<td>7.85±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>8.8±0.05</td>
<td>8.5±0.1</td>
<td>8.2±0.05</td>
<td>8.0±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall acceptability</td>
<td>8.8±0.05</td>
<td>8.5±0.1</td>
<td>8.2±0.1</td>
<td>8.0±0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2b. Organoleptic characteristics of smoked product of *V. cochlidium* during storage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organoleptic characters</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>60th day</th>
<th>120th day</th>
<th>180th day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>8.5±0.1</td>
<td>8.2±0.005</td>
<td>8.0±0.005</td>
<td>8.0±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>8.5±0.05</td>
<td>8.0±0.01</td>
<td>8.0±0.06</td>
<td>7.6±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odour</td>
<td>8.6±0.005</td>
<td>8.0±0.02</td>
<td>7.8±0.005</td>
<td>7.6±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>8.2±0.01</td>
<td>8.4±0.05</td>
<td>7.5±0.005</td>
<td>7.8±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>8.8±0.05</td>
<td>8.0±0.06</td>
<td>7.65±0.01</td>
<td>7.5±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>8.7±0.05</td>
<td>8.4±0.05</td>
<td>8.0±0.005</td>
<td>8.0±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall acceptability</td>
<td>8.8±0.05</td>
<td>8.5±0.05</td>
<td>8.0±0.02</td>
<td>7.85±0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Conclusions

Value addition increases the appealing appearance to the gastropod meat among the consumers. It thereby increases its utilization in the domestic market. The present study result revealed that *C. ramosus* and *V. cochlidium* smoked meat are good and safe for human consumption even till 180 days without any spoilage.
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