

Elimination Pathways of Fusion Protein and Peptide Drugs

Ali Khodadoust¹ , Hossein Aghamollaei² , Ali Mohammad Latifi¹ , Kazem Hasanpour³ , Mahdi Kamali⁴ , Hamid Tebyanian⁵ , Gholamreza Farnoosh^{1,*} 

¹ Applied Biotechnology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Chemical Injuries Research Center, Systems biology and Poisonings Institute, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, Sabzevar, Iran

⁴ Nanobiotechnology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵ Research Center for Prevention of Oral and Dental Diseases, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

* Correspondence: rzfarnoosh@yahoo.com;

Scopus Author ID 55855454400

Received: 28.07.2020; Revised: 25.08.2020; Accepted: 27.08.2020; Published: 1.09.2020

Abstract: Fusion proteins have been known as an interesting subject for scientific researches in improving properties or making a new function by synergistically incorporating two protein domains into one complex. Fusion proteins are created by ligation of two or more protein domains in a single polypeptide with functional properties. Improvement of therapeutic function is one of the main goals for developing these products. Elimination from the body is one of the most important points that should be considered in the design and production of fusion proteins. This review describes some of the most important excretion/elimination pathways of fusion peptide and protein drugs as well as serious elimination challenges in the development and manufacturing of fusion proteins.

Keywords: Fusion proteins; Therapy; Pharmacokinetics; Serum half-life; Peptide.

© 2020 by the authors. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Recombinant proteins have played a significant role in the treatment of several diseases as drugs over the last few decades. These proteins are mostly classified into natural analogs and protein fusions [1-4]. Human insulin (Humulin R) [3, 5] and etanercept (Enbrel) [6, 7] were approved as biopharmaceutical agents (by the FDA) in 1982 and 1998 from two groups (analogs and fused). Infusion proteins, one domain supplies a particular biological activity such as enzymatic activity (e.g., coagulation factors), target activation, or inactivation (e.g., the ligand for a receptor) and toxicity (e.g., diphtheria toxin). And also, other domains convey more general functions such as extending half-life, improving efficiency, reducing immunogenicity [8-11], increasing solubility, folding, stability, and/or thermostability as well as providing new targeting and delivery pathways [12-14].

Enhancing pharmacokinetic is an important part of the drug industry as a desirable improvement which can be obtained by fusing some domains to the target protein [15]. Fusion protein linkers have a key role in their efficiency. Direct fusion can lead to some unwanted results such as non-optimal bioactivity, misfolding, and low yield of product [16]. On the other hand, suitable linkers increase the stability/folding, the expression, improve the biological activity, enable targeting, and affect the pharmacokinetic (PK) of fusion proteins [17].

There are four critical parameters in the pharmacokinetic evaluation of therapeutic proteins such as Clearance (CL), Half-life (HL), Volume of Distribution (V), and Bioavailability (F) [18]. In other words, pharmacokinetic is a considerable part of drug absorption, distribution, metabolism (biotransformation), and elimination (ADME) [19, 20], and it was explained by Nelson in 1961 [21].

The significant factors are molecular size, conformation, charge, concentration, dosage, lipid solubility, hydrophilicity, and degree of ionization in ADME properties of drugs [22-24]. Clearance is the most important pharmacokinetic parameter and also quantifies the elimination of drugs. Therefore, the drug dose must be administered for the successful clinical application with protein therapeutics. Consequently, variations in drug clearance can lead to poor therapeutic results due to disease, genetics, and/or drug-drug interactions [25-27]. In this review, the recent important properties of linkers, linker's types, excretion/elimination pathways of fusion peptide and protein drugs were explained, and also, the serious elimination challenges were discussed in the development and manufacturing of fusion proteins.

2. Fusion Proteins Linkers

Linkers have a critical role in the functionality and bioactivity of fusion proteins. They can increase the stability/folding [28-30], expression [31], improve the biological activity [32], target to specific sites [33] as well as affect the PK of fusion proteins [34]. Amino acid residues, length, secondary structure, and hydrophobicity of linkers are the most important properties of linkers [17]. However, increasing the length of the linker can lead to an increase in the hydrophilicity and exposure in the aqueous solvent. On the other hand, the Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) efficiency is decreased with an increase in linker length [35]. The combined use of hydrophilic and flexible residues inhibits the formation of secondary structures in linkers and then reduces the linker interference in the folding and function of the protein domains [35]. There are important amino acids in linker structures (approximately 50%) such as polar uncharged or charged residues, specially threonine [36], serine (Ser), proline (Pro), glycine (Gly), phenylalanine (Phe). The composition and number of residues can be different based on the type of linker, and the structure of fused proteins such as Gly and Ser in flexible linkers, Pro, Lys, and Ala in rigid linkers as well as disulfide bonds in cleavage linker are more the important residues [17].

Table 1. Molecular weight as a major determinant of the elimination mechanisms of peptides and proteins.

Endocytosis may occur at any molecular weight range (modified from [41]).

Molecular weight (Da)	Elimination site	Predominant elimination mechanisms	Major determinant
≤ 500	Gastrointestinal tract, Blood, Lysosomes, Liver	Extracellular hydrolysis Passive lipid diffusion	Structure, lipophilicity
500 - 1000	Liver	Carrier-mediated uptake Passive lipid diffusion	Structure, lipophilicity
1000 - 50000	kidney	Glomerular filtration and subsequent degradation process	Molecular weight
50000 - 200000	Liver, Kidney	Receptor-mediated endocytosis	Sugar, charge
200000 - 400000		Oponisation	α2-macroglobulin, IgG
≥ 400000		Phagocytosis	Particle aggregation

3. The Elimination Pathways

Drug excretion is the process of eliminating a drug from the body. The major elimination routes of fusion peptide and protein drugs are proteolysis, renal elimination, and

hepatic elimination, as similar to other therapeutic proteins and peptides as well as receptor-mediated endocytosis [33].

However, excretion is also possible via secondary routes such as lungs, breast milk [37], saliva [38], tears [39], and sweat [26]. The route of degradation and elimination for peptide/protein is dependent on several factors, including molecular weight (table 1), secondary-tertiary structure, level of glycosylation, overall charge, lipophilicity, functional groups like the natural trend for particle aggregation [40].

4. Proteolysis Elimination

Proteolytic enzymes are ever-present in the body. Therefore, peptide and protein metabolisms are performed in classical clearance organs (e.g., bone, oral, and gastrointestinal) as well as blood cells and other body tissues [42-46]. Peptides and small proteins are unstable in body fluids, and also, there is no easy pass from the membrane and rapidly broken down by peptidases with short elimination half-life, and also, the ranging takes from several minutes to several hours [22, 47]. Many modification approaches have been used for overcoming this challenge, such as engineering of natural enzymes [12], conjugating to macro-molecules or their domains (e.g., human serum albumin, polyethylene glycol, XTEN polymers, transferrin, homo amino acid polymer, proline-alanine-serine polymer, elastin-like peptide, carboxy-terminal peptide, IgGs and Fc) [8] and stabilizing peptides/proteins upon fabrication in hydrogels [48] which causes a less accessible to protease digestion, leading to extended elimination half-life. The lysosomal and the ubiquitin-mediated pathways work together in the degradation of intracellular and exogenous proteins.

5. Renal Elimination

The kidney has physiologic roles (e.g., mineral composition, regulation of fluid volume, and acidity) with several functional roles. The functional role of the kidney is the elimination of drugs and their metabolites from the body as the main excretion route of drug clearance. The kidney is the main organ for the clearance of polar water-soluble chemicals. Renal drug excretion is done through three basic processes: glomerular filtration, tubular secretion, and tubular reabsorption [49]. The first two processes serve to draw out the drug from the blood into the urine. In the last process, tubular reabsorption involves the returned drug back into the blood. Therefore, the correlative contributions of these three mechanisms are important in renal drug excretion [26]. More detailed information about these three processes was reported previously [50]. Molecular size and electrical charge are two key parameters in kidney filtration of peptide and protein drugs [22, 26, 49]. Glomerular filtration is an effective mechanism for renal elimination of small proteins (smaller than 30 kDa and specially <5 kDa), while renal elimination of higher molecular weight proteins (>30 kDa) is very low [51]. The maximum rate of renal elimination (via glomerular filtration) is related to peptides and proteins with a molecular weight of less than 5 kDa (~ 120 mL/min in humans) [52]. The other effective factors in renal excretion of drugs include alteration of urine pH and diuresis, protein binding of the drug (Limits glomerular filtration without limitation in active tubular secretion), and age (Glomerular filtration rate declines slowly with age) [53]. The increasing molecular weight and negative charge of fusion proteins can be able to decrease the renal/proteolysis elimination and lead to extended plasma half-life.

The increasing elimination through proteolysis leads to a sharp decrease in total clearance from the renal route as a non-specific degradation pathway throughout the body. However, the low metabolic activity of proteins may lead to 100% renal contribution in the clearance in other tissues or limits in distribution to the extravascular space [54]. Parkes *et al.* demonstrated that renal elimination is a majority clearance pathway in exenatide as a peptidase-resistance peptide [55].

6. Hepatic Elimination

Elimination of drugs via the Hepatic route includes a series of events involving uptake them from the blood flow into one of three cell types in the liver (endothelial cells, hepatocytes, and Kupffer cell) that it leads to intracellular metabolism and/or excretion [26]. Generally, the Hepatic pathway is an important route for the elimination of small therapeutic drugs as the renal excretion route and is not a significant pathway for fusion protein elimination. However, hepatic elimination may be an important route for clearance of fusion proteins comprising protein domains that are metabolized in the liver, such as tissue plasminogen [56] and insulin [57].

7. Target-Mediated Drug Disposition (TMDD)/Receptor-Mediated Endocytosis (RME)

Target-mediated drug disposition is described as receptor-mediated endocytosis [33] that has an important role in the disposition of healing peptide/protein drugs in the body [58]. High-affinity and low-capacity binding of receptors to endogenous or exogenous ligand (e.g., therapeutic peptide/protein or their domains) may lead to cellular uptake by receptor-mediated endocytosis and subsequent intracellular lysosomal degradation [59]. RME is supposed to be an important elimination route for fusion peptide/protein drugs that bind to their receptors on the cell surfaces and lead to a loss of plasma concentration and/or elimination [22]. For example, the interleukin-1 domain binds to the IL-1 receptor on the cell surface is internalized and degraded through RME [36]. In addition to receptors, other targets can also be used as mediators. The Fab portion of some mAbs (e.g., rituximab) binds to the target epitopes on the cell surface and is degraded via ADCC (antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity) [60]. The TMDD elimination route can be saturated with therapeutic concentrations and decreases with increasing dose due to the limitation in target receptors number. Therefore, fusion proteins are affected by TMDD with protein drug domains, and also, it may display nonlinearity in their PK profiles and exhibit a dose-dependent plasma half-life [22].

8. Elimination via NonSpecific Fc Receptors (FcγRs and FcRns)

In the Fc region, FcγR is located between the CH₁ and CH₂ domains and has a critical role for antibody effector functions. But, FcRn is located between the CH₂ and CH₃ domains and has an important in recycling of antibodies for a long half-life [61]. FcγRs can be applied as a mediator in therapeutic peptide/protein drug elimination via binding, incorporation, and eventually degradation of IgG by lysosomes and/or phagocytic cells [62]. Elimination via FcγR can be an important route when an antibody can form soluble immune complexes via fusion processes and may play a significant role when an antibody binds to suspended cells in blood or other body fluids [63]. The elimination pathway by FcRn is a pH-dependent process. The FcRn binds to the Fc part of IgG in the slightly acidic (~6.0) environment of the endosomes. Then, The FcRn-IgG complex is carried back to the cell surface and released into the systemic

circulation at neutral pH (7-7.4), whereas unbound IgG and other proteins are changed to amino acids by intracellular lysosomes. Also, FcRn has important roles in increasing the half-life of drugs and elimination as a salvage route [60].

9. Elimination Challenges for Fusion Proteins

Protein fusing technology may be able to minimize the main elimination pathways (proteolysis, renal and hepatic routes) as DNA-based genetic engineering technology and lead to improving the critical therapeutic properties of drugs such as half-life, stability, and activity as well as solubility. In other words, some significant limitations affect the development of fusion proteins as therapeutics.

10. PK of Bifunctional Fusion Proteins

Since fusion proteins are combined from at least two domains and the pharmacokinetics of fusion proteins is intricately more than single-domain proteins [22]. On another side, the effect of domains (elimination mechanisms, intracellular processing, and receptor binding on plasma half-life of fusion proteins) cannot be determined if there is no precise and complete understanding of the effect of domains on each other. So, there is no complete analysis of PK of fusion proteins, and also, the fusion proteins design cannot be easy with the optimal characteristics such as stability, solubility as well as the probability of success (POS).

11. Misfolding of Fusion Proteins

The overexpression of many fusion proteins may also lead to their misfolding in host cells and form insoluble aggregates [64, 65]. The misfolded fusion proteins are unstable and eliminate via a rapid degradation as therapeutics. There are common strategies that can be used to prevent degradation, such as co-administration of fusion proteins with enzyme inhibitors and encapsulation of fusion proteins with inert and biocompatible polymers [66].

12. Immunogenicity

Fusion proteins may also make new/unknown epitopes that follow immunogenicity, strong hypersensitivity, or an immune response due to half-life decreasing [1]. The storage condition may also cause immunogenicity as well as structural features of fusion proteins [66]. Therefore, it can be suggested that the perfect analysis of structural features such as sequence modification and/or variation by immunogenicity and toxicity tests.

13. Conclusion

The fusion proteins are a growing segment of the biologics markets in the drug industry. Proteolysis is considered to be the main elimination route for many therapeutic protein drugs, hepatic and renal routes. Drug clearance is not an important pathway for the elimination of fusion proteins via these routes. Moreover, receptor-mediated endocytosis/Target-Mediated Drug Disposition (TMDD), as well as non-specific Fc Receptors (FcγRs and FcRns), are the main clearance pathway for most therapeutic fusion proteins that have high specific binding to their targets. The half-life of a protein is considerably affected by the chemical structure of the N-terminal and/or C-terminal amino acid. Therefore, attention to type and arrangement of N-

terminal and C-terminal amino acids certainly leads to the proper design of fusion proteins with the optimal characteristics such as stability, solubility, probability of success (POS) as well as effective clearance from suitable elimination pathway.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Schmidt, S.R. *Fusion protein technologies for biopharmaceuticals: applications and challenges*. John Wiley & Sons, **2013**; <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118354599>.
2. Caravella, J.; Lugovskoy, A. Design of next-generation protein therapeutics. *Current opinion in chemical biology* **2010**, *14*, 520-528, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.06.175>.
3. Leader, B.; Baca, Q.J.; Golan, D.E. Protein therapeutics: a summary and pharmacological classification. *Nature reviews Drug discovery* **2008**, *7*, 21-39, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2399>.
4. Noroozi-Aghideh, A.; Kheirandish, M. Human cord blood-derived viral pathogens as the potential threats to the hematopoietic stem cell transplantation safety: A mini review. *World J Stem Cells* **2019**, *11*, 73-83, <https://doi.org/10.4252/wjsc.v11.i2.73>.
5. Rosenfeld, L. Insulin: discovery and controversy. *Clinical chemistry* **2002**, *48*, 2270-2288, <https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/48.12.2270>.
6. Azevedo, V.F.; Galli, N.; Kleinfelder, A.; D'Ippolito, J.; Urbano, P.C. Etanercept biosimilars. *Rheumatology international* **2015**, *35*, 197-209, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-014-3080-5>.
7. Haraoui, B.; Bykerk, V. Etanercept in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Therapeutics and clinical risk management* **2007**, *3*, 99-105, <https://dx.doi.org/10.2147%2Ftcrm.2007.3.1.99>.
8. Strohl, W.R. Fusion proteins for half-life extension of biologics as a strategy to make biobetters. *BioDrugs* **2015**, *29*, 215-239, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40259-015-0133-6>.
9. Verma, S.; Jain, P.; Chauhan, P. Biobetters: the better biologics and their regulatory overview. *International Journal of Drug Regulatory Affairs* **2016**, *4*, 13-20, <https://doi.org/10.22270/ijdra.v4i1.177>.
10. Noroozi-Aghideh, A.; Kashani khatib, Z.; Naderi, M.; Dorgalaleh, A.; Yaghmaie, M.; Paryan, M.; Alizadeh, S. Expression and CpG island methylation pattern of MMP-2 and MMP-9 genes in patients with congenital factor XIII deficiency and intracranial hemorrhage. *Hematology* **2019**, *24*, 601-605, <https://doi.org/10.1080/16078454.2019.1654181>.
11. Khojaste, M.; Yazdani, M.; Tahmasebi, E.; Shokri, M.; Houshmand, B.; Shahbazi, R. Cell Toxicity and inhibitory effects of *Cyperus rotundus* extract on *Streptococcus mutans*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* and *Candida albicans*. *Eur J Transl Myol* **2018**, *28*, 7917, <https://doi.org/10.4081/ejtm.2018.7917>.
12. Farnoosh, G.; Latifi, A.M.; Khajeh, K.; Aghamollaei, H.; Najafi, A. Enzymatic degradation of organophosphate compounds: evaluation of high-level production, solubility and stability. *Journal of Applied Biotechnology Reports* **2016**, *2*, 339-344.
13. Farnoosh, G.; Khajeh, K.; Latifi, A.M.; Aghamollaei, H. Engineering and introduction of de novo disulphide bridges in organophosphorus hydrolase enzyme for thermostability improvement. *Journal of biosciences* **2016**, *41*, 577-588, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12038-016-9643-8>.
14. Suzuki, E.; Daniels, T.R.; Helguera, G.; Penichet, M.L.; Umezawa, K.; Bonavida, B. Inhibition of NF- κ B and Akt pathways by an antibody-avidin fusion protein sensitizes malignant B-cells to cisplatin-induced apoptosis. *International journal of oncology* **2010**, *36*, 1299-1307, https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo_00000615.
15. Gloff, C.A.; Benet, L.Z. Pharmacokinetics and protein therapeutics. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **1990**, *4*, 359-386, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-409X\(90\)90027-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-409X(90)90027-P).
16. Paraskevopoulou, V.; Falcone, F. Polyionic tags as enhancers of protein solubility in recombinant protein expression. *Microorganisms* **2018**, *6*, <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms6020047>.
17. Chen, X.; Zaro, J.L.; Shen, W.-C. Fusion protein linkers: property, design and functionality. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **2013**, *65*, 1357-1369, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.039>.

18. Kontermann, R.E. Strategies for extended serum half-life of protein therapeutics. *Current opinion in biotechnology* **2011**, *22*, 868-876, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.06.012>.
19. Vugmeyster, Y.; Xu, X.; Theil, F.-P.; Khawli, L.A.; Leach, M.W. Pharmacokinetics and toxicology of therapeutic proteins: advances and challenges. *World journal of biological chemistry* **2012**, *3*.
20. Vugmeyster, Y.; Harrold, J.; Xu, X. Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) studies of biotherapeutics for autoimmune and inflammatory conditions. *The AAPS journal* **2012**, *14*, 714-727, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1208%2Fs12248-012-9385-y>.
21. Nelson, E. Kinetics of drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion. *Journal of pharmaceutical sciences* **1961**, *50*, 181-192, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.2600500302>.
22. Chen, X.; Zaro, J.L.; Shen, W.-C. Pharmacokinetics of recombinant bifunctional fusion proteins. *Expert opinion on drug metabolism & toxicology* **2012**, *8*, 581-595, <https://doi.org/10.1517/17425255.2012.673585>.
23. Chillstone, S.; Hardman, J. Factors affecting drug absorption and distribution. *Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Medicine* **2008**, *8*, 335-339, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpaic.2017.04.007>.
24. Tibbitts, J.; Canter, D.; Graff, R.; Smith, A.; Khawli, L.A. Key factors influencing ADME properties of therapeutic proteins: A need for ADME characterization in drug discovery and development. *mAbs* **2016**, *8*, 229-245, <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420862.2015.1115937>.
25. Lee, J.; Kim, A.; Yu, K.-S.; Chung, J.-Y.; Yim, S.-V.; Kim, B.-H. Pharmacokinetics and bioequivalence of two different 20 mg olmesartan tablets: A randomized, single-dose, two-period crossover study in healthy Korean male volunteers. *Translational and Clinical Pharmacology* **2015**, *23*, 49-53, <https://doi.org/10.12793/tcp.2015.23.2.49>.
26. Hacker, M.; Messer, W.S.; Bachmann, K.A., *Pharmacology: principles and practice*. 2009: Academic Press.
27. Hassani, H.; Khoshdel, A.; Sharifzadeh, S.R.; Heydari, M.F.; Alizadeh, S.; Aghideh, A.N. TNF- α and TGF- β level after intraoperative allogeneic red blood cell transfusion in orthopedic operation patients. *Turk J Med Sci* **2017**, *47*, 1813-1818, <https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-1508-36>.
28. He, R.; Finan, B.; Mayer, J.P.; DiMarchi, R.D. Peptide Conjugates with Small Molecules Designed to Enhance Efficacy and Safety. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390%2Fmolecules24101855>.
29. Anami, Y.; Yamazaki, C.M.; Xiong, W.; Gui, X.; Zhang, N.; An, Z.; Tsuchikama, K. Glutamic acid–valine–citrulline linkers ensure stability and efficacy of antibody–drug conjugates in mice. *Nature communications* **2018**, *9*, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04982-3>.
30. Yang, G.; Xu, X.; Ding, Y.; Cui, Q.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Shi, S.; Lv, Z.; Wang, X.; Zhang, J. Linker length affects expression and bioactivity of the onconase fusion protein in *Pichia pastoris*. *Genet. Mol. Res* **2015**, *14*, 19360-19370, <https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.december.29.46>.
31. Amet, N.; Lee, H.-F.; Shen, W.-C. Insertion of the designed helical linker led to increased expression of tf-based fusion proteins. *Pharmaceutical research* **2009**, *26*, 523-8, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-008-9767-0>.
32. Guo, H.; Yang, Y.; Xue, F.; Zhang, H.; Huang, T.; Liu, W.; Liu, H.; Zhang, F.; Yang, M.; Liu, C. Effect of flexible linker length on the activity of fusion protein 4-coumaroyl-CoA ligase:: stilbene synthase. *Molecular BioSystems* **2017**, *13*, 598-606, <https://doi.org/10.1039/c6mb00563b>.
33. Hutt, M.; Fellermeier-Kopf, S.; Seifert, O.; Schmitt, L.C.; Pfizenmaier, K.; Kontermann, R.E. Targeting scFv-Fc-scTRAIL fusion proteins to tumor cells. *Oncotarget* **2018**, *9*, 11322-11335, <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.24379>.
34. Chen, X.; Lee, H.-F.; Zaro, J.L.; Shen, W.-C. Effects of receptor binding on plasma half-life of bifunctional transferrin fusion proteins. *Molecular pharmaceuticals* **2011**, *8*, 457-465, <https://doi.org/10.1021/mp1003064>.
35. van Rosmalen, M.; Krom, M.; Merks, M. Tuning the flexibility of Glycine-Serine linkers to allow rational design of multidomain proteins. *Biochemistry* **2017**, *56*, 6565-6574, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00902>.
36. Hoffman, H.M.; Throne, M.L.; Amar, N.; Sebai, M.; Kivitz, A.J.; Kavanaugh, A.; Weinstein, S.P.; Belomestnov, P.; Yancopoulos, G.D.; Stahl, N. Efficacy and safety of riloncept (interleukin-1 Trap) in patients with cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes: results from two sequential placebo-controlled studies. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* **2008**, *58*, 2443-2452.
37. Ito, S.; Lee, A. Drug excretion into breast milk—overview. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **2003**, *55*, 617-627, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X\(03\)00034-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(03)00034-6).
38. Idkaidek, N.; Arafat, T. Saliva versus plasma pharmacokinetics: theory and application of a salivary excretion classification system. *Molecular pharmaceuticals* **2012**, *9*, 2358-2363, <https://doi.org/10.1021/mp300250r>.
39. Van Haeringen, N. Secretion of drugs in tears. *Current Eye Research* **1985**, *4*, 485-488, <https://doi.org/10.3109/02713688509025164>.
40. Andus, K.L.; Raub, T.L. *Biological barriers to protein delivery*. Volume 4, Springer Science & Business Media, **2012**; <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2898-2>.
41. Braeckman, R.; Meibohm, B. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of peptide and protein drugs. In: *Pharmaceutical biotechnology*. CRC Press, **2016**; pp. 107-135.
42. Di, L. Strategic approaches to optimizing peptide ADME properties. *The AAPS journal* **2015**, *17*, 134-143, <https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-014-9687-3>.

43. Esfahanizadeh, N.; Motalebi, S.; Daneshparvar, N.; Akhoundi, N.; Bonakdar, S. Morphology, proliferation, and gene expression of gingival fibroblasts on Laser-Lok, titanium, and zirconia surfaces. *Lasers Med Sci* **2016**, *31*, 863-73, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10103-016-1927-6>.
44. Esfahanizadeh, N.; Daneshparvar, P.; Takzaree, N.; Rezvan, M.; Daneshparvar, N. Histologic Evaluation of the Bone Regeneration Capacities of Bio-Oss and MinerOss X in Rabbit Calvarial Defects. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* **2019**, *39*, e219-e227, <https://doi.org/10.11607/prd.4181>.
45. Esfahanizadeh, N.; Yousefi, H. Successful Implant Placement in a Case of Florid Cemento-Osseous Dysplasia: A Case Report and Literature Review. *J Oral Implantol* **2018**, *44*, 275-279, <https://doi.org/10.1563/aaid-joi-D-17-00140>.
46. Daneshparvar, H.; Sadat-Shirazi, M.S.; Fekri, M.; Khalifeh, S.; Ziaie, A.; Esfahanizadeh, N.; Vousooghi, N.; Zarrindast, M.R. NMDA receptor subunits change in the prefrontal cortex of pure-opioid and multi-drug abusers: a post-mortem study. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* **2019**, *269*, 309-315, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-018-0900-8>.
47. Verhoef, J.; Bodde, H.; De Boer, A.; Bouwstra, J.; Junginger, H.; Merkus, F.; Breimer, D. Transport of peptide and protein drugs across biological membranes. *European journal of drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics* **1990**, *15*, 83-93, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03190191>.
48. Raza, F.; Zafar, H.; Zhu, Y.; Ren, Y.; Ullah, A.; Khan, A.; He, X.; Han, H.; Aquib, M.; Boakye-Yiadom, K. A review on recent advances in stabilizing peptides/proteins upon fabrication in hydrogels from biodegradable polymers. *Pharmaceutics* **2018**, *10*, <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics10010016>.
49. Caliceti, P.; Veronese, F.M. Pharmacokinetic and biodistribution properties of poly (ethylene glycol)-protein conjugates. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **2003**, *55*, 1261-1277, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X\(03\)00108-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(03)00108-X).
50. Meibohm, B.; Derendorf, H. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of biotech drugs. *Oliver Kayser, Rainer H. Muller* **2004**, *147*, <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527609628>.
51. Kompella, U.B.; Lee, V.H. Pharmacokinetics of peptide and protein drugs. In: *Peptide and protein drug delivery*. Marcel Dekker New York, **1991**; pp. 391-484.
52. Maack, T.; Johnson, V.; Kau, S.T.; Figueiredo, J.; Sigulem, D. Renal filtration, transport, and metabolism of low-molecular-weight proteins: a review. *Kidney international* **1979**, *16*, 251-270, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.1979.128>.
53. Regårdh, C.G. Factors contributing to variability in drug pharmacokinetics. IV. Renal excretion. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics* **1985**, *10*, 337-349.
54. Lin, J.H. Pharmacokinetics of biotech drugs: peptides, proteins and monoclonal antibodies. *Current drug metabolism* **2009**, *10*, 661-691.
55. Parkes, D.; Jodka, C.; Smith, P.; Nayak, S.; Rinehart, L.; Gingerich, R.; Chen, K.; Young, A. Pharmacokinetic actions of exendin-4 in the rat: comparison with glucagon-like peptide-1. *Drug Development Research* **2001**, *53*, 260-267, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ddr.1195>.
56. Smedsrød, B.; Einarsson, M. Clearance of tissue plasminogen activator by mannose and galactose receptors in the liver. *Thrombosis and haemostasis* **1990**, *63*, 060-066.
57. Authier, F.O.; Danielsen, G.M.; Kouach, M.; Briand, G.; Chauvet, G.V. Identification of insulin domains important for binding to and degradation by endosomal acidic insulinase. *Endocrinology* **2001**, *142*, 276-289.
58. Mager, D.E. Target-mediated drug disposition and dynamics. *Biochemical pharmacology* **2006**, *72*, 1-10, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2005.12.041>.
59. Krippendorff, B.-F.; Kuester, K.; Kloft, C.; Huisinga, W. Nonlinear pharmacokinetics of therapeutic proteins resulting from receptor mediated endocytosis. *Journal of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics* **2009**, *36*, 239-260, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007%2Fs10928-009-9120-1>.
60. Ryman, J.T.; Meibohm, B. Pharmacokinetics of monoclonal antibodies. *CPT: pharmacometrics & systems pharmacology* **2017**, *6*, 576-588, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002%2Fpsp4.12224>.
61. Liu, L. Pharmacokinetics of monoclonal antibodies and Fc-fusion proteins. *Protein & cell* **2017**, 1-18, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-017-0408-4>.
62. Leabman, M.K.; Meng, Y.G.; Kelley, R.F.; DeForge, L.E.; Cowan, K.J.; Iyer, S. Effects of altered FcγR binding on antibody pharmacokinetics in cynomolgus monkeys. *MAbs. Taylor & Francis* **2013**, *5*, 896-903, <https://dx.doi.org/10.4161%2Fmabs.26436>.
63. Kasturirangan, S.; Rainey, G.J.; Xu, L.; Wang, X.; Portnoff, A.; Chen, T.; Fazenbaker, C.; Zhong, H.; Bee, J.; Zeng, Z. Targeted FcγR mediated clearance by a biparatopic bispecific antibody. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2017**, *292*, 4361-4370, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1074%2Fjbc.M116.770628>.
64. Thomas, J.G.; Baneyx, F. Protein misfolding and inclusion body formation in recombinant Escherichia coli cells overexpressing heat-shock proteins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1996**, *271*, 11141-11147, <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.19.11141>.
65. de Marco, A.; Deuerling, E.; Mogk, A.; Tomoyasu, T.; Bukau, B. Chaperone-based procedure to increase yields of soluble recombinant proteins produced in E. coli. *BMC biotechnology* **2007**, *7*, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186%2F1472-6750-7-32>.

66. Akash, M.S.H.; Rehman, K.; Tariq, M.; Chen, S. Development of therapeutic proteins: advances and challenges. *Turkish Journal of Biology* **2015**, *39*, 343-358, <https://doi.org/10.3906/biy-1411-8>.