Flavonoids in Benign Prostate Hypertrophy: Identification in Herbal Preparations and Molecular Docking Approach
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Abstract: The benefits of phytotherapy in Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) are of interest where they may lack side effects at long-term therapy. Through plant-derived preparations are Saw palmetto and Pumpkin seed oil. Evidence suggests that fatty acids, phytosterols, tocopherols, and flavonoids are the active components responsible for alleviating BPH symptoms. Flavonoids are reported to inhibit BPH through different mechanisms. Reducing inflammation and lowering reactive oxygen species are amongst the proposed mechanisms. In vitro studies highlighted the role of flavonoids in 5-alpha reductase II (5ARII) inhibitory activity. In this study, herbal preparations known to treat BPH were subjected to LC/MS/MS analysis integrated with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) to identify the content of flavonoids. A molecular docking study was conducted on the assigned flavonoids to predict the binding mode and interaction with the targeted 3D-crystal structure of the human 5ARII enzyme. Results showed the existence of seven flavonoids and a polyphenol compound. Sakuranetin, Isorhamnetin, and Chlorogenic acid were not reported before. Molecular docking outcomes revealed that Astragalin, Isoquercitrin, Quercetin, and Chlorogenic acid have similar binding affinity to the reference Finasteride compound. These findings suggest flavonoids as potent potential inhibitors of 5ARII and could proceed to in vitro investigations.

Keywords: BPH; herbal preparations; Saw palmetto; flavonoids; LC-MS-MS; molecular docking.

© 2021 by the authors. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is one of the most common geriatric male disorders worldwide, increasing prevalence [1]. The rate of incidence increases notably in aging males, with a progressive augment in prevalence to nearly 80% in men over 80 years of age [2]. Enlargement of prostate and boost in cell number is due to the stromal and epithelial proliferation and/or to impaired programmed cell death or apoptosis resulting in cellular accumulation. Androgens, growth factors, and neurotransmitters may participate either solely or in combination in the etiology of the hypertrophic process [3]. Treatment options of BPH are largely based on two classes of medications; alpha one-adrenergic antagonists (α1AA) and 5-alpha reductase II inhibitors (5ARIs) [4]. Being potent anti-inflammatory drugs, phosphodiesterase inhibitors provide additional options to treat prostatic inflammation [5]. FDA approved in October 2011 the usage of tadalafil for treatment of BPH. Combining an alpha-blocker and 5ARIs may slightly ameliorate urinary symptoms [6]. Combination therapy
between alpha one-adrenergic antagonists and 5ARI inhibitors is the gold benchmark for BPH remedy even though accompanied by significantly higher rates of erectile dysfunction(ED) and libido alterations (LA) [7]. Recently, the medical management of BPH has been largely based on phytotherapy. Blood pressure and sexual function are the main drawbacks of conventional therapies, mainly α1AA and 5ARI inhibitors. Plant-derived preparations fill the need for treatment with fewer reverse effects [8]. Versatile plants are available for the handling of BPH with different mechanisms. Inhibition of 5ARI is one of the proposed mechanisms through which certain active components play a role in the mechanism of inhibition. Herbal remedies in the literature proved to benefit BPH by inhibition of 5AR include *Alpinia officinarum*, *Brassica napus*, *Camellia sinensis*, *cucurbita seed oil*, *Lygodium japonicum*, *Pinus sp*, *Polygonum multiflorum Thunb*, *Rosa multiflora*, and *Serenoa repens*. Animal studies revealed that Steroidal compounds, Lauric and myristic acids, stearic, oleic, palmitic and linoleic acids, daidzin, polyphenolic compounds, and Isoflavones alleviate symptoms by different mechanisms involving 5ARI inhibitory activity, anti-androgen activity, anti-proliferative activity, and anti-inflammatory activity [9]. No evident side effects were observed in human studies of *P. africanaum* alone or in combination with stinging nettle extracts and proved to be effective in comparison with placebo. In one trial that lasted for 12 months, *S. repens* proved to be equal to tamsulosin in the medical treatment of symptoms accompanied with BPH [10]. Tolerability was significant and effectiveness similar to finasteride during 24 weeks of treatment with *Serenoa repens* and *Urtica dioica* [11].

*Saw palmetto* is the third best-selling plant as a food supplement in America [12]. There are more than 30 types of plants used to treat BPH. More than half of the formulations contained the dry fruit extract of Serenoa repens, while the remainder contained *Prunus africana*, *Urtica dioica*, and *Cucurbita pepo* [13,14]. In silico studies brought phytosterols, fatty acids, and tocopherols as potential inhibitors to 5ARI [15-17]. Efficacy and safety of polyphenol treatment in the prevention and treatment of BPH *in vivo* and *in vitro* have been reported in recent years [18]. *In vitro* studies bring-to-light Naringenin, Luteolin, Kaempferol [19,20], and Isoflavones [21] as active herbal components in several plant preparations used to relieve BPH symptoms. The whole-cell assay proved daidzein, genistein, and kaempferol to be better inhibitors of the type II isozyme than the type 1 [22].

Astragalin, the glycoside form of kaempferol, is known for its diverse therapeutic properties such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antiviral [23,24].

Quercetin-3-O-neohesperidoside and Rutin are the main components of multiple herbal preparations with anti-inflammatory effects [25]. *In vitro*, *in vivo*, and *in silico* studies brought Quercetin as a potent inhibitor of SARS-CoV 2 by preventing the entry of the virus in the host cell, binding the S protein, and inhibiting ACE2 receptors [23].

Isorhamnetin is an active ingredient in many plants mainly contained in *Hippophae rhamnoides* and *Ginkgo biloba*, with numerous effects on cardiovascular and cerebrovascular protection, anti-tumor, anti-inflammatory, and anti-oxidation activity [26].

In this study, herbal preparation containing *Saw palmetto* (figure 1) from retail pharmacies was assessed by identifying flavonoids via LC/MS/MS method. Molecular docking simulation of flavonoids and polyphenols identified in the studied herbal preparations was carried out on the crystal structure of 5ARI. A better understanding of binding mode and interactions will add insight to their potential application in BPH control. While previous molecular modeling studies of diverse structures were made on homology models [27], a 3D
X-ray crystal structure of 5ARII has been deposited in a protein data bank recently [28]. Screening the herbal preparations for the content of flavonoids and studying the interactions with the targeted protein by molecular docking approach will support and strengthen the theories and studies that correlate the activity of herbal formulations to certain components. To our knowledge, this is the first study of molecular docking of flavonoids performed on the human 3D X-ray crystal structure of 5ARII.

Figure 1. Saw palmetto.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Identification of flavonoids.

2.1.1. Reagents and samples.

Solvents were of LC/MS grade (Merck). Sawbal® tablets and Mivolis® capsules were supplied from a retail pharmacy.

2.1.2. Sample preparation.

Twenty tablets of Sawbal® were crushed, and twenty capsules of Mivolis® were opened and emptied. One gram of each content was dissolved in 10 mL methanol and sonicated for 2 h. The methanol layer was taken and passed through a 0.22 μm filter and injected directly to LC-MS-MS.

2.1.3. LC-ESI-MS analysis.

Agilent LC-MS-MS system (USA) liquid chromatography (Triple Quadrupole 6420) with electrospray ionization (ESI) equipped with mass spectrometry was used for the identification of flavonoids. The column was Eclipse XDB C18, 4.6 * 150 mm, 3.5μm. The mobile gradient phase consisted of a mixture of (A) water acidified with 0.1% formic acid (B) acetonitrile. Gradient elution was applied as indicated: 15%–20% B for 0-5 min, 20%–28% B for 5-6 min, 28% B for 6-10 minutes, 28%–35% B for 10-12 minutes, 35% B for 12-15 minutes. The flow rate was 0.6 ml/min, maintaining the temperature at 35°C. The detection was carried out in the negative ion mode by a full scan range within 100 to 2000 m/z.

2.1.4. Molecular docking of flavonoids.

The molecular docking of flavonoids was performed using the Schrodinger suite of software (Maestro, version 121) with its scores and calculations. Molecular docking in the active site of the X-ray crystal structure of 5ARII went through the following steps [29].
2.1.5. Ligand preparation.

The 2D structures of the studied compounds were downloaded as standard structure-data file (SDF) format using the world’s largest collection of freely accessible chemical information PubChem [30]. After that, they were converted into the protein data bank (PDB) format using the freely abundant open-source toolbox, Open Babel 3-1-1 [31]. The energy was minimized using potential liquid simulations (OPLS3) force field in Lig Prep in Maestro version 12.1 (Schrödinger, LCC, New York, 2019) [32,33]. The three-dimensional (3D) conformer generated for each ligand with the lowest energy was used during the docking procedure.

2.1.6. Protein preparation.

The 3D structure of 5ARII (PDB code: 7BW1, 2.8 Å) was retrieved from PDB and prepared. Water molecules and co-crystallized ligands were removed. Explicit hydrogen atoms were added. Bond orders and formal charges were adjusted, while disulfide bonds were created, and overlaps were checked. The corrected structure was subjected to energy minimization to relax any strain caused by the adjustment. The last step was preceded by repairing important protein errors such as incomplete residues [34].

2.1.7. Grid generation.

This step was obtained by Schrödinger in order to create a rigid network around the residues that form the functional binding groups at the binding site within the protein. The default box size is 20 angstroms and is centered on the default ligand.

Grids for molecular docking with Glide16 were calculated with a hydrogen bond constraint to glutamic acid 57 of 5ARII [35].

2.1.8. Extra precision (XP) molecular docking.

An extra precision (XP) glide docking was used to perform the docking and to predict the binding mode between the identified flavonoids and 5ARII. Compounds were flexibly docked with glide within the rigid binding site [36]. One docking hit with the least glide docking score indicating the most favorable pose is obtained for each ligand to be analyzed later [37]. Finally, the results were rendered in the work table as a pose viewer file.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Identification of flavonoids.

Acetonitrile was chosen as the organic phase due to its higher sensitivity and lower background than methanol. As for formic acid, it was added at a rate of 0.1% to the mobile phase, and it is facilitated better resolution by reducing peak tailing [38]. The negative ionization mode [M-H]- was chosen because it gives an extensive MS-MS fragmentation compared to [M+H]+ [39]. Flavonoids were recognized by LC-MS-MS data and information available in the literature, with subsequent confirmation by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). This recognition provided by MS/MS has enormous advantages in reducing interference and enhancing sensitivity compared to single-SIM modulation [40].
mode is the best way to restrain the accuracy with specific ion fragmentation from the precursor ion to its specific fragmentation ion (by daughter ion) [39].

In the MS-MS spectrum, the loss of glycosyl moieties in the positive and negative ionization modes, as well as the molecular fragments, is observed due to the retro Diels–Alder (RDA) [41] fragmentation pathway, which implies dissociation of the glycosides to their original components.

The chromatogram obtained by LC-MS-MS of Sawbal methanolic extract showed eight peaks in the full scan mode represented by Figure 1.

Eight flavonoids were initially identified in the methanolic extract by mass, fragmentation mass spectrometry (molecular masses of potential components), and information available in the literature (Table 1). Most of the compounds identified gave the deprotonated molecule [M−H]- representing the predominant precursor ions except for Quercetin 3-O-neohesperidoside, which gave the parent fragment without deprotonation, and Rosmarinic acid, which gave the fragment 357.2 which is [M-H-2H]-.

Peak 1 (1.617) with a [M–H]- ion m/z 447 and daughter ion at m/z 285 was identified as Astragaline. The daughter ion m/z 285 represents the fragment belonging to the kaempferol glycoside after cleavage of the glycoside molecule, as in Fig 2, [42].

Peak 2 (1.628) with the fragment 610 Da and daughter ion at m/z 179 was identified as Quercetin 3-O-neohesperidoside, as can be seen in Figure 3, [43].

![Figure 3. (a) Astragaline structure (b) ion m/z 285.](image)

![Figure 4. Fragment m/z 179 of Quercetin.](image)
Peak 3 (1.650) with a [M−H]− ion m/z 316.9 and daughter ion at m/z 179 was identified as Myricetin [44] in Figure 4.

![Figure 5. Fragment m/z 179 of Myricetin.](image)

Isorhamnetin at 2.116 was identified by the molecular ion m/z 316.9 and daughter ion 272.8, as shown in Figure 5 [45,46].

![Figure 6. Fragment m/z 272 of Isorhamnetin.](image)

![Figure 7. Fragment m/z 300 of Rutin.](image)

![Figure 8. Chromatogram of flavonoids identified by MRM in methanolic extract of Sawbal®: (a) Astragaline; (b) Quercetin-3-O-neohesperidoside; (c) Isorhamnetin; (d) Rutin.](image)
Table 1. The flavonoids identified in methanolic extract of Sawbal® by LC-MS-MS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Fragments (m/z)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astragaline</td>
<td><img src="https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC126.83078323" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1.617</td>
<td>447.2 m/z &gt; 226.9 m/z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin 3-Oneohesperidoside</td>
<td><img src="https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC126.83078323" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1.628</td>
<td>610.4 m/z &gt; 179.1 m/z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myricetin</td>
<td><img src="https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC126.83078323" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>316.9 m/z &gt; 179 m/z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosmarinic acid</td>
<td><img src="https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC126.83078323" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1.997</td>
<td>357.2 m/z &gt; 161.1 m/z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isorhametin</td>
<td><img src="https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC126.83078323" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>2.116</td>
<td>316.9 m/z &gt; 272.8 m/z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchin</td>
<td><img src="https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC126.83078323" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>9.725</td>
<td>847 m/z &gt; 265 m/z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Peaks 4, 6, 7, and 8 were identified as Rosmarinic acid (1.997) [47], catchin (9.725) [45], Rutin (10.33) [46] (Figure 6), Kaempferol-3-O-(2G-α-L-rhamnosyl)-rutinosid (10.33) respectively by their molecular and daughter ions (Table 1).

After initiation identification of flavonoids in Sawbal®, MRM was applied to confirm the presence of the initially assigned ones. During MRM analysis, certain daughter ions are selected through the second quadrupole, transitioning from the molecular ion-specific to its product ion. 447 > 285 m/z, 316.9 > 272.8 m/z, 610.4 m/z > 179.1 m/z, 610 > 300 m/z were chosen as the qualifier ion transition of Astragaline, Isorhamnetin, Quercetin-neohesperidoside, and Rutin respectively. Figure 7, Shows the chromatograms of the identified flavonoids in methanolic extract of Sawbal® by LC-MS-MS/ MRM mode.

The chromatogram obtained by LC-MS-MS of Mivolis® methanolic extract in the full scan mode is represented in Figure 8.

Chlorogenic acid and 7 flavonoids are identified in Mivolis® methanolic extract by LC-MS-MS- MRM. The presence of chlorogenic acid is confirmed by the precursor ion m/z 353 and daughter ion m/z 191 expressing quinic acid (Fig 10), [48].

The presence of a Sakuranetindimer polymer is also confirmed by the molecular fragment m/z 551, which results from the meeting of two Sakuranetin molecules and the exit of a water molecule and H2 and the daughter fragment m/z 197. Previous studies have shown
the existence of carbon-carbon bonds between a flavonoid and another, such as Sakuranetin 3,8 homodimer and Chamaejasmin [49].

![Figure 10. Fragment m/z 191 of quinic acid.](image)

Quercetin-3-O-(2G-α-L-rhamnosyl)-rutinoside is defined based on precursor fragment m/z 755.2 and daughter fragment m/z 300 [46]. Similarly, the compound Rutin is defined based on the molecular ion m/z 610 and the daughter fragment m/z 300, and according to a recent study, this compound inhibits the protease enzyme of SARS-CoV-2 [50].

Figure 11 depicts the chromatogram of Chlorogenic acid and flavonoids identified by MRM in methanolic extract of Mivolis®.

Isoquercetin, Astragalin, Isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside, and Naringenin are identified by the ions m/z 463.0/299.9 [51], 447.0/285 [43] and 623/315 [52], 272/151 [53,54].
respectively. The fragmentation pattern of Isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside is shown in Figure 12. The product ion m/z 151 in Naringenin is illustrated in Figure 13.

Astragalin inhibits SARS-CoV-2, according to a recent study [24], in addition to its anti-inflammatory activity. The flavonone Naringenin is present in many vegetables and fruits and has strong antioxidant, antiviral and anti-inflammatory properties [55-57]. Its beneficial effect against SARS-CoV-2 is exerted by inhibiting the main protease and reducing ACE2 activity [24]. The identified active components of Mivolis® are listed in Table 2.

![Figure 12. Interpretation of the fragments of Isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside.](image1)

![Figure 13. Interpretation of the fragment m/z 151 in Naringenin.](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>MW</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Fragments m/z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorogenic acid C16H18O9 (1S,3R,4R,5R)-3-[(E)-3-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)prop-2-enoyl]oxy-1,4,5-trihydroxycyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>354.31</td>
<td>C16H18O9</td>
<td>353 &gt; 191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakuranetin C16H14O5 (2S)-5-hydroxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydrochromen-4-one</td>
<td></td>
<td>286.26</td>
<td>C16H14O5</td>
<td>551&gt; 197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quercetin-3-O-(2G-α-L-rhamnosyl)-rutinoside  
C33H40O20  

Rutin  
C27H30O16  
2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-3-[(25,3R,4S,5S,6R)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-[(2R,3R,4R,5R,6S)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-methyloxan-2-yl]oxyethyl]oxan-2-yl]oxychromen-4-one  

Isoquercetin  
C21H20O12  
2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-3-[(25,3R,4S,5S,6R)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)oxan-2-yl]oxychromen-4-one  

Astragaline  
C21H20O11  
5,7-dihydroxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-[(25,3R,4S,5S,6R)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)oxan-2-yl]oxychromen-4-one  

Isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside  
C28H32O16  
5,7-dihydroxy-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-3-[(25,3R,4S,5S,6R)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-[(2R,3R,4R,5R,6S)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-methyloxan-2-yl]oxyethyl]oxan-2-yl]oxychromen-4-one  

Naringenin  
C15H12O5  
5,7-dihydroxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydrochromen-4-one

---

**Table 3.** Types of interactions between the flavonoids and the binding site of 5ARII.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flavonoid</th>
<th>Charged -</th>
<th>Charged +</th>
<th>Hydrophobic</th>
<th>Polar</th>
<th>H-bond</th>
<th>π-π</th>
<th>Glide /score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finasteride</td>
<td>Glu57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln56,Ser31</td>
<td>Glu57</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PHE223,219,118,ALA</td>
<td>Arg114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LEU224,111,23,20,C</td>
<td>TYP91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>GLU197</td>
<td>105,227,9</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,224,TRP53PH194,</td>
<td>HIE231</td>
<td></td>
<td>227,TYP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charged -</td>
<td>Charged +</td>
<td>hydrophobic</td>
<td>Polar</td>
<td>H-bond</td>
<td>pi-pi</td>
<td>Glide /score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.LYS35.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.ASN160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197.HIE2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astragaline</td>
<td>GLU57,19</td>
<td>ARG94,2</td>
<td>TYR91,107,33.PHE19</td>
<td>SER31,220.</td>
<td>SER220.</td>
<td>PHE216,</td>
<td>8.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U111,224.TRP53,201</td>
<td>N56</td>
<td>RC94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoquercetin</td>
<td>GLU57,19</td>
<td>ARG94,2</td>
<td>LEU167.PHE186,</td>
<td>SER31,220.</td>
<td>ASN193</td>
<td>-7.679</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ASP164</td>
<td>27,114.H</td>
<td>ALA192.PHE234</td>
<td>ASN160.GL</td>
<td>GLY191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P90</td>
<td></td>
<td>N56</td>
<td>SER190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin-</td>
<td>GLU197.5</td>
<td>ARG114.</td>
<td>PHE194,223,118,119,</td>
<td>SER31,220.</td>
<td>TYR91,98</td>
<td>PHE33,2</td>
<td>-7.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-O-</td>
<td>7.ASP164</td>
<td>227,94.H</td>
<td>216.LEU224,167,111</td>
<td>ASN193,160</td>
<td>33.GLU5</td>
<td>23,118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neohesperid</td>
<td>P90</td>
<td></td>
<td>TYR91,98,107.TRP53</td>
<td>GLN56.AG</td>
<td>7.ARG94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLU119</td>
<td>N122.H</td>
<td>HE23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.ASP164</td>
<td>94,90</td>
<td>YR98,107,91,33,PHE</td>
<td>ASN193,160</td>
<td>SP164.TY</td>
<td>ARG94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118,223,216,219,194.</td>
<td>GLN56</td>
<td>R91,33.S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TRP53,201.CYS119</td>
<td>E9R31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isohamninin</td>
<td>GLu57,19</td>
<td>ARG94,1</td>
<td>PHE216,194,223,219,</td>
<td>SER31,ASN</td>
<td>TYR91.A</td>
<td>ARG94</td>
<td>-6.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.HIP90</td>
<td>118.LEU224,111.TYR</td>
<td>60.GLN56</td>
<td>RG94.GL</td>
<td>TRP53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91,33,107.TRP201,53</td>
<td>U197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CYS119</td>
<td>N122.H</td>
<td>HE23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakuranetin</td>
<td>GLU197.5</td>
<td>ARG94,HI</td>
<td>PHE194,223,118,219,</td>
<td>ASN160,193</td>
<td>GLU197.T</td>
<td>ARG94</td>
<td>-6.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>P90</td>
<td>216.TYR107,33,91,T</td>
<td>GLN56</td>
<td>YR91.LE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TRP53,201.CYS119.LE</td>
<td>U111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U224,111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isohamninetin</td>
<td>GLU197.5</td>
<td>ARG94,1</td>
<td>PHE216,223,194,219,</td>
<td>ASN160.GL</td>
<td>GLU197.T</td>
<td>TRP53.A</td>
<td>-6.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3-O-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.HIP90</td>
<td>118,TRP201,53,TYR9</td>
<td>N56.SER31</td>
<td>ARG94.T</td>
<td>RG94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rutinoside</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,33,107.LEU111,224</td>
<td>YR91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CYS119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naringenin</td>
<td>GLU57,19</td>
<td>HIP90,AR</td>
<td>TYR98,91,33.PHE216</td>
<td>ASN160,193</td>
<td>TYR91.A</td>
<td>PHE118</td>
<td>-6.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.ASP164</td>
<td>Q94</td>
<td>223,118,194.LEU224</td>
<td>ASN160,193</td>
<td>TYR91.A</td>
<td>PHE118</td>
<td>-6.109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Molecular docking of flavonoids.

Root mean square deviation (RMSD) between the crystal ligand and re-docked ligand (finasteride) was less than the X-RAY resolution (1.5), revealing acceptable harmony between the experimental and predicted binding pose [58].

The extra precision glide docking scores for the identified flavonoids ranged between -6.109 and -8.779. The score of finasteride as a potent reference 5ARII was -8.79. Chlorogenic acid and Astragalin fit well in the 5ARII binding site with a glide score close to finasteride (Figures 13, 14, and 15). Astragalin, Quercetin-3-O-neohesperidoside, and Rutin can create a hydrogen bond with GLU57 as finasteride do, where Quercetin-3-O-neohesperidoside, Rutin, Isohamninitin, Sakuranetin, Isohamninetin-3-O-rutinoside, and Naringenin can bind to TYR91 with a hydrogen bond as in finasteride (Table 3). None of the compounds bonded with ARG114 as finasteride. All the compounds participated in pi–pi attacking (except Isoquercetin) by their TRP and PHE residues. ARG94 was involved in pi-pi stacking in Rutin, Isohamninitin, Sakuranetin, and Isohamninetin-3-O-rutinoside. Although pi–pi stacking is particularly known for aromatic rings where about 60% of aromatic rings show this interaction [59], ARG also can engage in such interaction via delocalized pi-system of sp2-hybridized atoms of its guanidinium group [60]. Quercetin-3-O-neohesperidoside and Rutin bind with five hydrogen bonds where GLU57 and TYR91 are part of these bonds and finasteride. Chlorogenic acid has the lowest docking score among the identified polyphenols with hydrogen donating properties [61] and salt bridge interaction [62], suggesting stable ligand-enzyme complexes and well fit binding poses.
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Figure 14. Schematic representation of the interactions between Dihydrofinasteride and 5ARII binding site.
Hydrogen bonds with GLU57, TYR 91, and ARG114.

Figure 15. Schematic representation of the interactions between Chlorogenic acid and 5ARII binding site.

Figure 16. Schematic representation of the interactions between Astragaline and 5ARII binding site. Hydrogen bonds with ARG94, GLU57, and SER220. Pi-pi stacking with PHE216, PHE219.
4. Conclusions

Different types of inhibitors of 5ARII inhibitors were developed during the last decade. In this study, we identified flavonoids in products containing Serenoa repens. MRM mode integrated with LC-MS-MS ascertained the presence of 7 flavonoids beside Chlorogenic acid. Isorhamnitin, Sakuranetin, and Chlorogenic acid were identified with no previous reports about their presence in such botanical preparations. Identification of these compounds may enhance consumer interest, augment sales, and boost the value of their preparations. Combining Molecular docking studies with other in vitro and in vivo provides a better understanding of binding modes and enhances the hypothesis of active components' activity. Chlorogenic acid, a potent antioxidant polyphenol, exhibited high affinity towered 5ARII with 7 hydrogen bonds, π-π stacking, salt bridge, and hydrophobic interactions. While salt bridges are considered the strongest inorganic interactions, they can be used to design the strongest interactions in ligand-protein complexes and thus the strongest inhibition of the enzyme. Flavonoids displayed good interactions with 5ARII implying them as potent inhibitors close to finasteride. This is the first molecular docking study on flavonoids where they appeared as potential potent inhibitors, along with Chlorogenic acid as a promising lead 5ARII inhibitor.
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