Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry
Open-Access Journal (ISSN: 2069-5837)

Review
Volume 15, Issue 3, 2025, 43
https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC153.043

Recent Trends in the Application of Microwaves in Biodiesel Production

Akintomiwa Olumide Esan [1,*], Blessing Ayomide Olafimihan [1], Grace Ibukunoluwa Fayokun [1], Deborah Adejoke Badmus [1], Samuel Adeyeoba Aderemi [1], Ibidotun Theophilus Olawoore [2], Ayodele Dorcas Adeyemi [3,*]

[1] Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, P.M.B. 4000, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria; aoesan@lautech.edu.ng (A.O.E.);
[2] Department of Chemistry, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria; ibidotunolawoore@gmail.com (I.T.O.);
[3] Department of Chemistry, Hallmark University Ijebu-Itele, Nigeria;adadeyemi@hallmarkuniversity.edu.ng (A.D.A.);
* Correspondence: aoesan@lautech.edu.ng (A.O.); adadeyemi@hallmarkuniversity.edu.ng (A.D);
Scopus Author ID 55934047100

Received: 18.01.2025; Accepted: 11.04.2025; Published: 8.06.2025

Abstract Microwave technology has transformed biodiesel production by addressing challenges related to sustainability and efficiency. Biodiesel, a renewable and eco-friendly alternative to fossil fuels, is derived from organic sources like vegetable oils and waste fats. However, traditional production methods are hindered by high energy demands, lengthy reaction times, and inconsistent feedstock quality. Microwave-assisted transesterification significantly reduces reaction times and energy usage, enhancing process efficiency and biodiesel output. This approach minimizes heat loss and undesirable side reactions, improving product quality. Additionally, microwave technology can pre-treat feedstocks, reducing impurities and simplifying oil extraction, allowing for lower-quality and unconventional feedstocks. Advanced catalysts designed for microwave systems ensure optimal reaction kinetics and energy absorption, further streamlining the production process. Despite its benefits, scaling up microwave-assisted methods presents challenges, such as the cost of specialized equipment and achieving uniform energy distribution. Nevertheless, this technology holds great promise for reducing environmental impact, lowering production costs, and improving scalability.

Keywords: microwave-assisted transesterification; biodiesel production; sustainable feedstocks; renewable energy; process efficiency.

1. Introduction

A sustainable and biodegradable fuel, biodiesel is made from organic materials like vegetable and animal fats as well as leftover cooking oil [1-3]. A chemical process called transesterification that changes triglycerides into the main building blocks of biodiesel and fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) [4] produces it. This fuel lessens reliance on fossil fuels and greenhouse gas emissions, making it an environmentally friendly substitute for petroleum diesel. Using renewable and frequently waste-based resources, biodiesel enables cleaner combustion, is compatible with diesel engines, and supports sustainable energy [5]. The high cost and scarcity of high-quality feedstocks, which make up a sizable amount of production costs, are among the difficulties facing the biodiesel industry [6].

Utilizing non-edible or waste oils frequently requires considerable pre-treatment to eliminate contaminants and free fatty acids. To enhance production, the transesterification process requires ideal circumstances, such as accurate temperature control and effective catalysts [5]. Biodiesel's general adoption and industrial viability are further hampered by legislative obstacles over quality requirements, rivalry with food resources, and technical challenges to scaling up production. The manufacture of biodiesel is revolutionized by microwave technology, which increases sustainability and efficiency [7]. Quick and even heating speeds up the transesterification process, which creates biodiesel by reacting triglycerides in oils with alcohol. When compared to traditional approaches, this lowers reaction time and energy usage [5].

Additionally, microwaves help with pre-treatment by lowering moisture content and contaminants while enhancing oil extraction from feedstocks such as seeds, algae, and waste oils [8]. They also make it possible to treat unconventional or low-quality feedstocks efficiently. Microwave technology advances renewable energy solutions by providing an economical and ecologically friendly method of producing biodiesel by optimizing reaction conditions and yields [9].

2. Fundamentals of Microwave Technology

By causing molecular motion, electromagnetic waves in the microwave frequency range can produce heat inside materials [10]. This process is known as microwave heating. Ionic conduction and the dipole rotation of polar molecules, like water, are the main causes of this [11]. Microwaves heat materials uniformly and quickly, in contrast to conventional heating, which depends on surface heat transmission. This efficiency speeds up procedures and lowers energy usage [12]. Because of its accuracy and efficiency, microwave heating is frequently employed in industrial processes such as food processing, chemical reactions, and biodiesel creation [13,14].

2.1. Interaction of microwaves with materials

Materials' dielectric characteristics, which dictate how they absorb or reflect microwave radiation, affect how microwaves interact with them [15]. Water and other polar molecules efficiently absorb microwaves, producing heat through ionic conduction and dipole rotation [16]. Materials can be classified as transmitters (like glass and plastics), reflectors (like metals), or absorbers (like water and oils) of microwave radiation. The material's temperature, frequency, and composition all affect how deeply microwaves can penetrate [17]. Microwaves are perfect for processes like chemical reactions and biodiesel manufacture because of this selective interaction, which allows for effective, localized heating [18].

2.2. Benefits of processes assisted by microwaves.

One of the many benefits of microwave-assisted processes (MAPs) is their quick and even heating, which improves reaction times and energy efficiency [19]. By precisely controlling the temperature and minimizing side reactions, they improve reaction selectivity and yield. MAPs are scalable for industrial applications and reduce solvent usage, which is consistent with green chemistry principles [17,20]. They are also adaptable, working in various domains like food processing, material science, and organic synthesis. Costs and the impact on the environment are decreased by the shorter process time and energy use [21].

3. Applications of Microwaves in the Production of Biodiesel

3.1. Transesterification assisted by a microwave.

An advanced method for creating biodiesel is microwave-assisted transesterification, which uses alcohol and a catalyst to transform triglycerides into fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) [22]. By directly energizing molecules, microwaves provide quick, uniform heating in contrast to conventional heating, greatly cutting down on reaction time and energy usage. By improving reaction efficiency, this technique produces higher conversion rates faster. Microwaves minimize side reactions, lessen thermal degradation, and improve the catalyst-reactant interaction. The method is economical and environmentally benign, making it a viable substitute for producing sustainable biodiesel [23]. It is in line with the objectives for cleaner, renewable energy sources and promotes scalability [24].

3.1.1. The process.

In order to improve the conversion of triglycerides into biodiesel, microwaves interact with reactants in the microwave-assisted transesterification mechanism [17]. Electromagnetic radiation from microwaves excites polar molecules like alcohol and catalysts, resulting in fast molecular rotation and dipole realignment [25]. The reaction kinetics are accelerated due to localized heating at the molecular level. The improved energy transfer makes breaking the triglyceride bonds and creating methyl or ethyl esters easier [26]. The uniform heating also lessens temperature gradients, which lowers the possibility of side reactions and localized overheating [27]. The conversion efficiency is further increased by the catalyst's better dispersion in the reaction medium, which guarantees effective contact between the reactants [28].

3.1.2. Processes that are catalytic and non-catalytic.

Chemical reactions require both catalytic and non-catalytic processes, which are differentiated by whether a catalyst is present. A catalyst is a material that speeds up a reaction without being consumed in catalytic processes [29]. They are widely used in sectors like pharmaceuticals and petroleum refining, as well as in increasing efficiency and lowering energy requirements [30]. Non-catalytic processes, on the other hand, happen spontaneously without catalysts and frequently call for higher pressures or temperatures to produce appreciable reaction rates [31]. Although these procedures are less complicated, they might use less energy. Reaction specificity, economic considerations, and environmental effects are factors that influence the decision between these processes. In industrial applications, both are essential [32].

3.2. Microwave getting feedstocks ready.

An innovative technique for increasing the processing efficiency of different raw materials in industrial applications is the microwave pre-treatment of feedstocks, which makes use of microwave energy [33]. By directly interacting with the materials' molecular structure—mostly through ionic conduction and dipole rotation—this method heats materials quickly and evenly [34]. It works especially well at decomposing complex feedstocks, such as biomass, increasing their accessibility and reactivity for further procedures like gasification, pyrolysis, or enzymatic hydrolysis [35]. Microwave pre-treatment is an economical and ecologically friendly choice for sustainable feedstock because it has benefits like lower energy consumption, quicker processing times, and less chemical use [4].

3.2.1. Improving oil extraction.

By increasing the process's yield and efficiency, microwave pre-treatment improves oil extraction [36]. Microwaves quickly heat feedstocks high in oil, rupturing cell walls and dissolving intricate structures to facilitate the easier release of oil [37]. Better extraction is made possible by this method's reduction of the oil's viscosity and enhancement of its flow. It works especially well for biomass, seeds, and nuts, where conventional techniques might not be able to extract the oil fully. Microwave pre-treatment is an economical and sustainable solution for the oil industry since it not only boosts extraction yield but also cuts down on processing time and energy usage [38].

3.2.2. Decrease in unbound fatty acids.

A critical step in enhancing oil quality and guaranteeing its suitability for biodiesel production is the reduction of free fatty acids (FFA) in oil feedstocks, which can be accomplished with microwave pre-treatment. The breakdown of FFAs through thermal reactions or esterification processes is accelerated by microwaves' quick and even heating [1]. Furthermore, it facilitates the conversion of FFAs into stable compounds like esters by encouraging their interaction with catalysts or reactants [39]. This process uses less energy and reduces the deterioration of the oil's functional and nutritional qualities [40]. Microwave pre-treatment improves the quality and stability of extracted oils by lowering FFA levels, satisfying industry standards, and increasing the effectiveness of downstream processing.

3.3. Microwave in waste oil and non-traditional feedstock utilization.

While enhancing the use of waste oil and unconventional feedstocks, microwave technology contributes to a more effective and sustainable method of resource recovery [41]. Microwaves aid in the breakdown of impurities and increase the oil's reactivity for biodiesel production or refinement [1]. Consistent heating shortens processing times, speeds up impurity removal, and improves the overall quality of recycled oils [34]. Microwave pre-treatment facilitates the breakdown of complex organic matter in non-traditional feedstocks, including algae, animal fats, and waste biomass, facilitating the extraction of important components like lipids and oils [42]. This technology makes waste oil recycling and unconventional feedstock processing more environmentally sustainable and efficient overall [43].

4. Optimization of Microwave Parameters

4.1. Power levels and frequency.

The effectiveness and efficiency of microwave processing depend heavily on frequency and power levels [44]. The intensity of microwave energy applied to the feedstock is determined by power levels, which also affect the rate of heating and the degree of molecular interactions [45]. Faster heating is achieved with higher power levels, but delicate materials may experience thermal damage or an uneven temperature distribution [46]. Usually expressed in gigahertz (GHz), frequency is the number of microwave cycles per second. The uniformity of the energy distribution is affected by the depth to which different frequencies permeate materials. In order to minimize energy consumption and material deterioration and achieve desired processing results, such as effective extraction, drying, or sterilizing, it is imperative to optimize both power levels and frequency [47].

4.2. Reaction time.

In microwave processing, reaction time is a parameter affecting how effectively the caliber of feedstock undergoes chemical or physical changes [48]. It describes how long the feedstock is subjected to microwave radiation. While longer reaction times could enable more thorough reactions or deeper material penetration, shorter reaction times can result in faster processing and higher throughput [49]. Excessive reaction time, however, may lead to energy waste, overprocessing, or the deterioration of important components. The type of material being processed, the intended result, and the particular procedure being employed—such as drying, sterilizing, or extracting oil—all influence the ideal reaction time [50]. For microwave-assisted operations to balance speed and quality, effective reaction time management is crucial.

4.3. Temperature control.

In microwave processing, reaction temperature is a crucial factor that affects the effectiveness and caliber of materials' chemical or physical change [48]. It describes the temperature at which the feedstock is subjected to microwave radiation. The temperature ensures the conversion of the triglycerides or fatty acids to fatty acid methyl ester, depending on the type of feedstock used [51]. The type of feedstock employed is a determinant of the temperature that will be utilized [50]. To ensure speed and quality, a crucial temperature management is needed for microwave-assisted operations.

4.4. Catalyst selection and loading.

For microwave-assisted processes to be optimized, catalyst loading and selection are essential [17]. The reaction and feedstock determine the kind of catalyst; popular options include homogeneous, heterogeneous, and biocatalysts. Activity, selectivity, stability, and surface area are important catalyst characteristics that affect reaction efficiency. Since catalysts must interact with microwave radiation in an efficient manner, microwave compatibility is crucial [52]. The amount added in relation to the feedstock is known as catalyst loading, and it affects yield and reaction rates. While temperature control guards against overheating and deterioration, proper distribution guarantees even contact with reactants. Cost-effectiveness and process sustainability are further improved by excellent catalyst regeneration and reusability [49].

5. Advantages of Microwave-Assisted Biodiesel Production

5.1. Energy efficiency.

The manufacturing of biodiesel depends heavily on energy efficiency, particularly when microwave technology is used. Conventional biodiesel manufacturing techniques, such as transesterification, need a lot of heat, which sometimes means a lot of energy and lengthy processing periods [53]. By using microwave radiation to heat the reaction mixture directly, the microwave-assisted technique improves the energy efficiency of biodiesel synthesis. Because microwaves heat the reactants selectively, this technology drastically lowers the energy needed for heating while guaranteeing that energy is directed precisely to the needed components without wasting any [54]. Heat must be transported from an outside source to the reaction vessel in a traditional configuration, which may be laborious and wasteful. Faster reactions and less energy consumption result from the direct absorption of energy by the molecules in the reaction mixture caused by microwave irradiation [44]. Because it uses less fuel and has less environmental impact, the microwave technique is, therefore, a more economical and environmentally friendly option.

Furthermore, transesterification aided by microwaves can reduce processing time, increase throughput, and lower production costs for biodiesel. The capacity of microwaves to increase the efficiency of feedstock conversion, such as that of vegetable or animal fats, into biodiesel is another advantage of their use [55]. When compared to conventional procedures, the selective heating process frequently yields a larger amount of biodiesel. Because of the higher yield requires less raw material, which further lowers energy use and resource waste. Microwave technology plays a significant role in the manufacture of biodiesel not only because it may increase energy efficiency but also because it helps make the process more profitable and ecologically beneficial [56]. This is a big step in the direction of greener energy options.

5.2. Reaction speed and yield improvement.

In order to increase the yield and reaction time during the biodiesel production process, microwave technology is essential. Conventional techniques for producing biodiesel, such as transesterification, depend on external heating to accelerate the interaction between oils or fats and alcohols; this process frequently calls for high temperatures and a long period [42]. However, by directly heating the reaction mixture through the excitation of polar molecules, microwave radiation enables more effective and consistent heating. Oils may be converted into biodiesel in minutes rather than hours because of this direct contact, which greatly speeds up the reaction rate [57]. In addition to increasing output, a quicker reaction time lowers total energy usage, improving process efficiency. The microwave technique ensures better mixing of reactants, including alcohol, oil, and other substances, which increases reaction efficiency and yield [49].

Furthermore, microwave heating makes it possible to precisely regulate reaction parameters like temperature and duration, which maximizes biodiesel yield and optimizes the conversion process. As a result, the feedstock undergoes a more thorough conversion to biodiesel, improving overall efficiency. In the end, microwave technology contributes to more economical and sustainable biodiesel production by making the process quicker, more energy-efficient, and producing higher yields [58].

5.3. Environmental impact reduction comparative analysis.

When compared to conventional methods, microwave technology offers a significant reduction in the environmental impact of biodiesel production. Traditional biodiesel production uses a lot of energy, usually from fossil fuels to heat reactants, like oils and alcohol, to high temperatures over extended periods [28]. This extensive energy use causes a greater environmental impact and increased carbon emissions. In contrast, microwave radiation, which selectively excites polar molecules, is used in microwave-assisted biodiesel production to heat the reaction mixture directly [1]. Because less energy is needed to reach the desired reaction temperature and less energy is used overall, this direct heating is far more energy-efficient. The environmental impact is also reduced by the quicker reaction times made possible by microwave technology. Microwave-assisted procedures can be finished in a fraction of the time required by traditional methods, which can take hours, thereby lowering energy consumption and related emissions. The process is also more efficient, requiring less feedstock to generate the same amount of biodiesel, which lessens the environmental impact of sourcing raw materials [24]. Overall, by lowering energy consumption, lowering greenhouse gas emissions, and improving process efficiency, microwave technology makes biodiesel production more sustainable. This makes the process of producing biodiesel more environmentally friendly and greener than traditional methods [55].

6. Comparative Evaluation of Microwave-Assisted and Conventional Methods

6.1. Microwave-assisted versus conventional biodiesel production.

In conventional biodiesel production, oils or fats are heated for long periods of time with alcohol and a catalyst. The process of transporting energy from the surroundings to the reaction mixture depends on external heating. It frequently takes many hours to accomplish the intended conversion as a result of increased energy consumption, slower reaction rates, and longer reaction times. Conventional procedures sometimes result in the creation of undesired byproducts and feedstock deterioration due to their high-temperature requirements. As a result, even though this approach is popular, it is less effective and sustainable for the environment.
Microwave-assisted biodiesel production, on the other hand, is a more sophisticated and effective method. By stimulating polar molecules in the reaction mixture, this method directly heats the reactants using microwave radiation. The reaction is greatly accelerated by the quick and even heating caused by the direct energy absorption. Microwave-assisted production significantly reduces processing time and energy consumption by achieving high reaction rates in minutes, in contrast to the traditional method. The technology also improves reactant mixing, reduces side reactions, and lessens the production of byproducts, increasing the amount of biodiesel produced. Since it uses less energy and has less of an impact on the environment, microwave-assisted biodiesel production is not only quicker and more effective but also more sustainable. Microwave technology is a better option for biodiesel synthesis than the traditional method because it is more efficient, energy-efficient, and environmentally friendly [1,2,24,41].

6.2. Cost analysis and scalability.

A significant advancement in biodiesel synthesis, microwave-assisted biodiesel production has a number of benefits over traditional techniques. It usually takes hours to achieve the desired conversion in traditional biodiesel production, which involves heating oils or fats with alcohol and a catalyst over long periods of time. This approach uses external heating, which transfers energy from the environment to the reaction mixture. This leads to higher energy consumption and slower reaction rates. Furthermore, high temperatures are frequently needed for traditional methods, which can cause feedstock to degrade and produce undesirable byproducts. On the other hand, the polar molecules in the reaction mixture are excited by the direct heating of the reactants using microwave radiation in microwave-assisted biodiesel production. The reaction is greatly accelerated as a result of more effective and consistent heating. The reaction duration is frequently shortened from hours to minutes due to the direct energy absorption, which enables the process to achieve high reaction rates in a significantly shorter amount of time. This lowers the energy needed for the process while simultaneously increasing overall productivity. Encouraging improved reactant mixing, lowering side reactions, and minimizing byproducts, microwave technology increases the yield of biodiesel. In conclusion, microwave-assisted biodiesel production offers a more sustainable option than traditional techniques because it is quicker, more energy-efficient, and less environmentally harmful [12,28,33,36].

7. Case Studies and Real-World Applications

7.1. Laboratory studies.

Understanding and enhancing the processes used to produce biodiesel depends heavily on laboratory research. These studies, which examine various factors influencing biodiesel yield, including feedstock types, catalysts, reaction conditions, and purification techniques, are frequently carried out under controlled conditions. For example, testing various vegetable oils, animal fats, or waste oils as feedstock for transesterification—a crucial step in biodiesel production—may be part of laboratory experiments. In order to optimize the quality and yield of biodiesel, researchers also look into the ideal temperature, pressure, and catalyst concentration. Before production methods are scaled up to industrial levels, these controlled experiments improve their efficiency. However, the practical uses of biodiesel production translate these research results into business environments. In these applications, the emphasis switches to solving issues like the sustainability of the environment, cost-effectiveness, and feedstock availability. For instance, biodiesel production from waste oils or algae has drawn interest in practical applications because it provides a sustainable substitute for conventional fuels. Logistics like the feedstock supply chain and waste disposal must be taken into account when producing biodiesel on an industrial scale. In order to ensure that biodiesel is a sustainable and practical energy source for the future, real-world applications prioritize efficiency, scalability, and economic viability, even though laboratory studies offer insightful information about the science underlying biodiesel production [2,3].

7.2. Industrial scale applications.

The goal of industrial-scale biodiesel production applications is to scale up research results to satisfy market demand while overcoming financial and technical obstacles. These applications entail large-scale operations that use feedstock like vegetable oils, animal fats, or waste oils to produce biodiesel through processes like transesterification. Optimizing production efficiency, cutting expenses, and raising the caliber of biodiesel are the main objectives. In practical applications, feedstock selection is crucial since it influences the process's overall cost-effectiveness and environmental impact. Waste oils, for example, are increasingly being used to minimize the reliance on food crops and reduce the environmental footprint. Factors like plant design, automation, and energy consumption must be carefully taken into account when producing industrial biodiesel. Issues with catalyst recycling, reaction kinetics, and byproduct handling must all be addressed during the scale-up procedure. Successful industrial production also depends on the logistics of obtaining raw materials, preserving constant quality, and guaranteeing regulatory compliance. Innovations in enhancing process integration, cutting waste, and attaining sustainability are also necessary for real-world applications. Overcoming these challenges will allow industrial biodiesel production to help lessen reliance on fossil fuels by offering a clean, renewable alternative for the transportation and other energy sectors. [1,2,24,41].

8. Challenges and Limitations

8.1. Equipment and operational costs.

When producing biodiesel, equipment and operating costs are major obstacles, particularly when expanding from lab research to commercial uses. Because it entails the purchase of specialized equipment like reactors, distillation units, filtration systems, and storage tanks, the initial capital investment needed to set up biodiesel production plants is significant. These elements are necessary for the final product's purification and the effective conversion of feedstock into biodiesel. The equipment cost is further increased by the requirement for automation and ongoing monitoring to maintain ideal production conditions. It is crucial to have the appropriate technology and scale to handle large volumes of feedstock without sacrificing quality because biodiesel production involves intricate chemical reactions like transesterification. Another important factor is operational costs. They include raw materials, labor, maintenance, and energy use. Energy, usually in the form of heat, is needed during the transesterification process to promote the chemical reaction, which raises the final cost. Because skilled workers are required to operate and maintain the equipment, labor costs can be high. Logistical costs may increase due to the requirement for continuous supply chains for feedstock like vegetables or waste oils. Unless efficiency improvements or equipment cost reductions occur, these high operating costs could make biodiesel production less competitive with fossil fuels [5,29].

8.2. Scaling up microwave-assisted processes

There are particular difficulties and restrictions when expanding microwave-assisted processes in biodiesel production, especially when moving from lab-scale trials to large-scale industrial applications. Microwave-assisted transesterification is a desirable substitute for traditional heating techniques because it provides quicker reaction times and greater energy efficiency. However, major technological and financial obstacles must be removed before this process can be scaled. Since microwave heating is usually more successful in smaller, controlled settings, one difficulty is distributing microwave energy uniformly across large volumes of feedstock. It can be challenging to guarantee that the feedstock receives constant microwave energy during the reaction in large-scale operations, which could result in inefficiencies or uneven biodiesel quality. The machinery required for industrial-scale microwave-assisted biodiesel production is costly and sophisticated. Large feedstock volumes must be handled by the reactors while preserving the effectiveness of microwave energy transmission. For many producers, the price of these specialized reactors and the infrastructure needed to support them can be unaffordable. The possibility of energy inefficiencies as a result of scale-up is another drawback since larger systems might not maintain the same energy-saving advantages observed in lab experiments. The complexity is further increased by operational concerns, such as managing temperatures, reaction times, and byproduct handling. The commercialization of microwave-assisted biodiesel production is a difficult but potentially fruitful undertaking because of these factors [5,29].

8.3. Technical barriers.

Technical barriers in biodiesel production include several difficulties that impair the process's effectiveness, scalability, and general success. The variation in feedstock quality is one of the main technical challenges. The feedstock, which can be waste oils, animal fats, or vegetable oils, is crucial to the production of biodiesel. Maintaining constant biodiesel quality with these feedstocks is challenging because of their variations in composition, moisture content, and impurity levels. This variation may result in lower-quality fuel or the requirement for extra processing steps, as well as impact the effectiveness of transesterification, the chemical reaction that turns oils into biodiesel. Handling byproducts, like glycerol, which is generated in significant amounts during biodiesel production, is another technical obstacle.

Glycerol is still difficult to dispose of or process further because it can build up and cause waste management issues. Furthermore, recycling or removing catalysts from the end product, such as potassium or sodium hydroxide, may be challenging, which raises operational costs and environmental concerns. Furthermore, it is still technically difficult to achieve the best reaction conditions for large-scale production. To optimize yield and reduce energy consumption, variables like temperature, pressure, and reaction time need to be carefully managed. It is difficult to increase biodiesel production while preserving cost-effectiveness and efficiency because of these intricate requirements [5,29].

9. Future Trends and Innovations

9.1. Integration with other technologies.

Innovations and technological integration are key to biodiesel production's future because they can greatly increase sustainability and efficiency. By speeding up the rate at which oil is produced from feedstock, biotechnology advancements like genetically modifying microorganisms and algae are anticipated to increase biodiesel yield. Furthermore, machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) are becoming essential for managing energy consumption, forecasting feedstock availability, and streamlining production processes. Large-scale biodiesel production is now more feasible thanks to these technologies' ability to automate processes, enhance quality control, and lower costs. Additionally, facilities that produce biodiesel increasingly incorporate renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar, into their operations. The environmental benefits of biodiesel are increased when its production process is powered by clean energy, which further reduces the fuel's overall carbon footprint. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies are also being investigated to cut greenhouse gas emissions further during production. The developments in waste-to-energy technologies are making it easier to turn non-food biomass, such as agricultural residues, into biodiesel, providing a low-cost and environmentally friendly substitute for conventional feedstock. These multidisciplinary methods hold the potential to improve biodiesel efficiency and environmental friendliness as a future energy source [2,3,5,29].

9.2. Development of sustainable feedstocks.

The creation of sustainable feedstocks is a major priority for the production of biodiesel in the future, with the goal of lowering the environmental effect and increasing the biofuels' efficiency. Food crops like soybeans and palm oil have historically been used to make biodiesel, which raises issues with deforestation, land use, and food security. However, non-food and waste-based feedstocks like algae, agricultural residues, and even municipal waste are becoming more popular in the future. Because of its high lipid content and capacity to grow in a range of environments, including wastewater and non-arable land, without competing for food resources, algae, in particular, offer enormous potential. Thanks to developments in biotechnology, strains of algae can now be genetically modified to maximize oil production. Research on lignocellulosic biomass, which comprises plant materials like wood, grass, and straw, is also progressing. These plentiful feedstocks provide a scalable and sustainable alternative to food production. Waste oils like those from restaurants or used cooking oil are being used, which minimizes waste and lessens the need for new agricultural production. New technologies for extraction and conversion are supporting these innovations by lowering costs and increasing yield. Together, these advancements in sustainable feedstocks are assisting biodiesel in its transition to a more sustainable and profitable future [2,3,5,29].

9.3. Advanced catalysts for microwave systems.

Advanced microwave catalysts are becoming a novel way to boost biodiesel production, especially by increasing the transesterification process's sustainability and efficiency. Conventional heating is usually used in traditional biodiesel production processes, which can be time-consuming and energy-intensive. On the other hand, microwave-assisted transesterification uses microwave radiation to heat the reactants selectively, resulting in quicker reaction times and greater yields. Advanced catalysts, like solid acid and base catalysts, are essential to this process because they make it easier for feedstock oils to break down into glycerol and biodiesel in a microwave environment. Because microwave heating targets the precise molecules involved in the reaction, these catalysts can drastically reduce energy consumption by reducing the need for prolonged heating. They also make it possible to control the reaction conditions more precisely, which lowers the production of byproducts and raises the quality of biodiesel. Advanced catalysts aid in resolving problems such as catalyst deactivation, which is a frequent obstacle in conventional biodiesel production. The study of new materials, such as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and nanomaterials, is creating opportunities for more robust and effective catalytic systems that function well in the particular circumstances of microwave processing. In addition to streamlining the production process, the combination of these cutting-edge catalysts and microwave technology helps create a more economical and sustainable biodiesel sector going forward [2,3,5,29].

10. Conclusion

The manufacture of biodiesel has been revolutionized by microwave technology, which offers several advantages over conventional techniques. Microwave-assisted procedures improve biodiesel yields, streamline reaction times, and save energy by facilitating quick, consistent heating and effective energy use. The utilization of unconventional and waste-based feedstocks is also made easier by this creative method, which enhances the sustainability of biodiesel manufacturing. Modern catalysts enhance these procedures even more, guaranteeing increased effectiveness and less environmental damage. Notwithstanding its advantages, problems still exist with technological scalability, cost control, and guaranteeing consistent energy distribution throughout large-scale activities. It will take ongoing research and development to address these problems, in addition to integration with other cutting-edge technology like artificial intelligence and renewable energy sources. All things considered, microwave technology has the potential to completely transform the manufacturing of biodiesel, making it more affordable, sustainable, and ecologically benign. Adopting and improving microwave-assisted processes will be essential to attaining global energy sustainability and lowering reliance on fossil fuels as the need for renewable energy rises.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, A.O.E; methodology, A.O.E., B.A.O., I.T.O.; validation, A.O.E., A.D.A., I.T.O.; formal analysis, G.I.F., D.A.B., S.A.A.; investigation, G.I.F., D.A.B., S.A.A.; resources, A.O.E.; writing—original draft preparation, G.I.F., D.A.B.; writing—review and editing, A.O.E., B.A.O., A.D.A.; visualization, A.O.E., A.D.A., I.T.O.; supervision, A.O.E.; project administration, A.O.E.; funding acquisition, A.O.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

Data supporting the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Funding

This research was funded by the TETFUND LAUTECH Institution Base Research Grant, grant number TETF/DR&D/CE/UNIV/OGBOMOSO/IBR/2024/VOL.1.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support of the African-German Network of Excellence in Science (AGNES).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Tufail, T.; Ain, H.B.U.; Chen, J.; Virk, M.S.; Ahmed, Z.; Ashraf, J.; Shahid, N.U.A.; Xu, B. Contemporary Views of the Extraction, Health Benefits, and Industrial Integration of Rice Bran Oil: A Prominent Ingredient for Holistic Human Health. *Foods* **2024**, *13*, 1305, https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13091305.
2. Virumbrales, C.; Hernández-Ruiz, R.; Trigo-López, M.; Vallejos, S.; García, J.M. Sensory Polymers: Trends, Challenges, and Prospects Ahead. *Sensors* **2024**, *24*, 3852, https://doi.org/10.3390/s24123852.
3. Yacout, D.M.M.; Tysklind, M.; Upadhyayula, V.K.K. Socio-economic implications of forest-based biofuels for marine transportation in the Arctic: Sweden as a case study. *Front. Clim.* **2024**, *6*, 1414813, https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2024.1414813.
4. Castiello, C.; Junghanns, P.; Mergel, A.; Jacob, C.; Ducho, C.; Valente, S.; Rotili, D.; Fioravanti, R.; Zwergel, C.; Mai, A. GreenMedChem: the challenge in the next decade toward eco-friendly compounds and processes in drug design. *Green Chem.* **2023**, *25*, 2109-2169, https://doi.org/10.1039/d2gc03772f.
5. Yudina, O. Forming the European Union Common External Energy Policy: Key Events and Results. *World Econ. Int. Relat.* **2021**, *65*, 39–48, https://doi.org/10.20542/0131-2227-2021-65-5-39-48.
6. Narayanan, M. Biorefinery products from algal biomass by advanced biotechnological and hydrothermal liquefaction approaches. *Discov. Appl. Sci.* **2024**, *6*, 146, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-024-05777-6.
7. Spanjol, J.; Noble, C.H.; Baer, M.; Bogers, M.L.A.M.; Bohlmann, J.; Bouncken, R.B.; Bstieler, L.; De Luca, L.M.; Garcia, R.; Gemser, G.; Grewal, D.; Hoegl, M.; Kuester, S.; Kumar, M.; Lee, R.; Mahr, D.; Nakata, C.; Ordanini, A.; Rindfleisch, A.; Seidel, V.P.; Sorescu, A.; Verganti, R.; Wetzels, M. Fueling innovation management research: Future directions and five forward-looking paths. *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.* **2024**, *41*, 893-948, https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12754.
8. Enaime, G.; Dababat, S.; Wichern, M.; Lübken, M. Olive mill wastes: from wastes to resources. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2024**, *31*, 20853-20880, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-32468-x.

9. Gautam, M.K.; Mondal, T.; Nath, R.; Mahajon, B.; Chincholikar, M.; Bose, A.; Das, D.; Das, R.; Mondal, S. Harnessing Activated Hydrochars: A Novel Approach for Pharmaceutical Contaminant Removal. *C* **2024**, *10*, 8, https://doi.org/10.3390/c10010008.
10. Fauquet, F.; Galluzzi, F.; Taday, P.F.; Chapoulie, R.; Mounier, A.; Ben Amara, A.; Mounaix, P. Terahertz time-domain spectro-imaging and hyperspectral imagery to investigate a historical Longwy glazed ceramic. *Sci. Rep.* **2024**, *14*, 19248, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-69697-6.
11. Liu, S.; Wang, A.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, W.; Wen, H.; Zhang, H.; Sun, K.; Li, S.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, J.; Li, B. Catalytically Active Carbon for Oxygen Reduction Reaction in Energy Conversion: Recent Advances and Future Perspectives. *Adv. Sci.* **2024**, *11*, 2308040, https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202308040.
12. Mazarío Santa-Pau, J. Catalytic transformations of glycerol via hydroxyacetone into nitrogen heterocycles of industrial interest. Doctoral Thesis, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia, Spain, **2021.**
13. Osman, A.I.; Ayati, A.; Krivoshapkin, P.; Tanhaei, B.; Farghali, M.; Yap, P.-S.; Abdelhaleem, A. Coordination-driven innovations in low-energy catalytic processes: Advancing sustainability in chemical production. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2024**, *514*, 215900, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2024.215900.
14. Osman, A.I.; Chen, Z.; Elgarahy, A.M.; Farghali, M.; Mohamed, I.M.A.; Priya, A.K.; Hawash, H.B.; Yap, P.-S. Membrane Technology for Energy Saving: Principles, Techniques, Applications, Challenges, and Prospects. *Adv. Energy Sustainability Res.* **2024**, *5*, 2400011, https://doi.org/10.1002/aesr.202400011.
15. Campaioli, F.; Gherardini, S.; Quach, J.Q.; Polini, M.; Andolina, G.M. *Colloquium*: Quantum batteries. *Rev. Modern Phys.* **2024**, *96*, 031001, https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.96.031001.
16. Muñoz, A.G.; Abdelouas, A.; Alonso, U.; Fernández, A.M.; Bernier-Latmani, R.; Cherkouk, A.; Gaggiano, R.; Hesketh, J.; Smart, N.; Padovani, C.; Mijnendonckx, K.; Montoya, V.; Idiart, A.; Pont, A.; Riba, O.; Finck, N.; Singh, A.R.; King, F.; Diomidis, N. WP15 ConCorD state-of-the-art report (container corrosion under disposal conditions). *Front. Nucl. Eng.* **2024**, *3*, 1404739, https://doi.org/10.3389/fnuen.2024.1404739.
17. Osman, A.I.; Nasr, M.; Mohamed, A.R.; Abdelhaleem, A.; Ayati, A.; Farghali, M.; Al-Muhtaseb, A.a.H.; Al-Fatesh, A.S.; Rooney, D.W. Life cycle assessment of hydrogen production, storage, and utilization toward sustainability. *WIREs Energy Environ.* **2024**, *13*, e526, https://doi.org/10.1002/wene.526.
18. Afiqah-Idrus, A.; Abdulkareem-Alsultan, G.; Asikin-Mijan, N.; Fawzi Nassar, M.; Voon, L.; Hwa Teo, S.; Agustiono Kurniawan, T.; Athirah Adzahar, N.; Surahim, M.; Zulaika Razali, S.; Islam, A.; Yunus, R.; Alomari, N.; Hin Taufiq-Yap, Y. Deoxygenation of waste sludge palm oil into hydrocarbon rich fuel over carbon-supported bimetallic tungsten-lanthanum catalyst. *Energy Convers. Manag.: X* **2024**, *23*, 100589, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecmx.2024.100589.
19. Shweta; Capareda, S.C.; Kamboj, B.R.; Malik, K.; Singh, K.; Bhisnoi, D.K.; Arya, S. Biomass Resources and Biofuel Technologies: A Focus on Indian Development. *Energies* **2024**, *17*, 382, https://doi.org/10.3390/en17020382.
20. Putra, N.R.; Rizkiyah, D.N.; Che Yunus, M.A.; Qomariyah, L. Towards a greener future: Bioactive compounds extraction from shrimp shells using eco-friendly techniques. *eFood* **2024**, *5*, e146, https://doi.org/10.1002/efd2.146.
21. Shah, N.; Patel, A.; Koshta, V.; Prajapati, P. Approaches for shelf life extension of milk and milk products: at a glance. *Croatian J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2024**, *16*, 98-132, https://doi.org/10.17508/cjfst.2024.16.1.08.
22. Nikzadfar, M.; Kazemi, A.; Abooei, R.; Abbaszadeh, R.; Firouz, M.S.; Akbarnia, A.; Rashvand, M. Application of Cold Plasma Technology on the Postharvest Preservation of In-Packaged Fresh Fruit and Vegetables: Recent Challenges and Development. *Food Bioprocess Technol.* **2024**, *17*, 4473-4505, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-024-03380-6.
23. Rallis, K. Novel nanoelectronic circuits and systems. Doctoral Thesis, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain, **2024**, https://doi.org/10.5821/dissertation-2117-409994.
24. Tolessa, A. Current Status and Future Prospects of Small-Scale Household Biodigesters in Sub-Saharan Africa. *J. Energy* **2024**, *2024*, 559602, https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/5596028.
25. de Conti, M.C.M.D.; Dey, S.; Pottker, W.E.; La Porta, F.A. An overview into advantages and applications of conventional and unconventional hydro(solvo)thermal approaches for novel advanced materials design. *Mater. Today Sustain.* **2023**, *23*, 100458, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtsust.2023.100458.
26. Nahar Myyas, R.; Tostado-Véliz, M.; Gómez-González, M.; Jurado, F. Review of Bioenergy Potential in Jordan. *Energies* **2023**, *16*, 1393, https://doi.org/10.3390/en16031393.
27. Huang, X.; Han, L.; Yang, X.; Huang, Z.; Hu, J.; Li, Q.; He, J. Smart dielectric materials for next-generation electrical insulation. *iEnergy* **2022**, *1*, 19-49, https://doi.org/10.23919/ien.2022.0007.

28. Sattarzadeh, N. The Impact of Sensory Strategies on the Perception of Authenticity in Ethnic Restaurants A case study of Iranian restaurants in London, UK. Doctoral Thesis, University of West London, London, United Kingdom, **2024**, https://doi.org/10.36828/thesis/12744.
29. Yazilitaş, C.; Yılbaşı, Z.; Yeşilyurt, M. Biodiesel production from hempseed (*Cannabis sativa* L.) oil: Providing optimum conditions by response surface methodology. *Sci. Technol. Energy Transit.* **2024**, *79*, 11, https://doi.org/10.2516/stet/2024006.
30. Suhartini, S.; Beti, P.M.; Elviliana; Ainur, R.N.; Agus, J.M.; Roshni, P.; Irnia, N.; Lailatul, R.N.; and Melville, L. Valorisation of macroalgae for biofuels in Indonesia: an integrated biorefinery approach. *Environ. Technol. Rev.* **2024**, *13*, 269-304, https://doi.org/10.1080/21622515.2024.2336894.
31. Hassan, Z.; Westerhoff, H.V. Arsenic Contamination of Groundwater Is Determined by Complex Interactions between Various Chemical and Biological Processes. *Toxics* **2024**, *12*, 89, https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics12010089.
32. Beljan, D.; Duic, N. Journal of Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems-Volume XI. *J. Sustain. Dev. Energy Water Environ. Syst.* **2023**, *11*, 1-3, https://doi.org/10.13044/j.sdewes.2023.11.edt.
33. Sanyal, A.; Malalasekera, W.; Bandulasena, H.; Wijayantha, K.G.U. Review of the production of turquoise hydrogen from methane catalytic decomposition: Optimising reactors for Sustainable Hydrogen production. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2024**, *72*, 694-715, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.05.397.
34. Martinengo, B.; Diamanti, E.; Uliassi, E.; Bolognesi, M.L. Harnessing the 12 Green Chemistry Principles for Sustainable Antiparasitic Drugs: Toward the One Health Approach. *ACS Infect. Dis.* **2024**, *10*, 1856-1870, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.4c00172.
35. Gryta, A.; Skic, K.; Adamczuk, A.; Skic, A.; Marciniak, M.; Józefaciuk, G.; Boguta, P. The Importance of the Targeted Design of Biochar Physicochemical Properties in Microbial Inoculation for Improved Agricultural Productivity—A Review. *Agriculture* **2024**, *14*, 37, https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture14010037.
36. Romano, T.; Pikurs, G.; Ratkus, A.; Torims, T.; Delerue, N.; Vretenar, M.; Stepien, L.; López, E.; Vedani, M. Metal additive manufacturing for particle accelerator applications. *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **2024**, *27*, 054801, https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevaccelbeams.27.054801.
37. Liñán-Atero, R.; Aghababaei, F.; García, S.R.; Hasiri, Z.; Ziogkas, D.; Moreno, A.; Hadidi, M. Clove Essential Oil: Chemical Profile, Biological Activities, Encapsulation Strategies, and Food Applications. *Antioxidants* **2024**, *13*, 488, https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox13040488.
38. Tan, H.; Othman, M.H.D.; Chong, W.T.; Kek, H.Y.; Wong, S.L.; Nyakuma, B.B.; Mong, G.R.; Wahab, R.A.; Wong, K.Y. Turning plastics/microplastics into valuable resources? Current and potential research for future applications. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2024**, *356*, 120644, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.120644.
39. Baquero, A. Optimizing green knowledge acquisition through entrepreneurial orientation and resource orchestration for sustainable business performance. *Mark. Intell. Plan.* **2025**, *43*, 241-271, https://doi.org/10.1108/mip-07-2023-0330.
40. Iacobini, C.; Vitale, M.; Haxhi, J.; Menini, S.; Pugliese, G. Impaired Remodeling of White Adipose Tissue in Obesity and Aging: From Defective Adipogenesis to Adipose Organ Dysfunction. *Cells* **2024**, *13*, 763, https://doi.org/10.3390/cells13090763.
41. Tinsley, E.; Froidevaux, J.S.P.; Jones, G. The location of solar farms within England's ecological landscape: Implications for biodiversity conservation. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2024**, *372*, 123372, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.123372.
42. Siagian, U.W.R.; Wenten, I.G.; Khoiruddin, K. Circular Economy Approaches in the Palm Oil Industry: Enhancing Profitability through Waste Reduction and Product Diversification. *J. Eng. Technol. Sci.* **2024**, *56*, 25-49, https://doi.org/10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2024.56.1.3.
43. Ede, S.R.; Yu, H.; Sung, C.H.; Kisailus, D. Bio-Inspired Functional Materials for Environmental Applications. *Small Methods* **2024**, *8*, 2301227, https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.202301227.
44. Disu, B.; Rafati, R.; Sharifi Haddad, A.; Mendoza Roca, J.A.; Iborra Clar, M.I.; Soleymani Eil Bakhtiari, S. Review of recent advances in lithium extraction from subsurface brines. *Geoenergy Sci. Eng.* **2024**, *241*, 213189, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoen.2024.213189.
45. Egun, I.L.; Akinwolemiwa, B.; Yin, B.; Tian, H.; He, H.; Fow, K.L.; Zhang, H.; Chen, G.Z.; Hu, D. Conversion of high moisture biomass to hierarchical porous carbon via molten base carbonisation and activation for electrochemical double layer capacitor. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2024**, *409*, 131251, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2024.131251.

46. Mishra, R.K.; Sarkar, J.; Verma, K.; Chianella, I.; Goel, S.; Nezhad, H.Y. Exploring transformative and multifunctional potential of MXenes in 2D materials for next-generation technology. *Open Ceramics* **2024**, *18*, 100596, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceram.2024.100596.
47. Osman, A.I.; Ayati, A.; Farrokhi, M.; Khadempir, S.; Rajabzadeh, A.R.; Farghali, M.; Krivoshapkin, P.; Tanhaei, B.; Rooney, D.W.; Yap, P.-S. Innovations in hydrogen storage materials: Synthesis, applications, and prospects. *J. Energy Storage* **2024**, *95*, 112376, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2024.112376.
48. Pal, A.; Gayen, K.S. The impact of microwave irradiation reaction in medicinal chemistry: A review. *Oriental J. Chem.* **2021**, *37*, 01-24, https://doi.org/10.13005/ojc/370101.
49. Blakesley, J.C.; Bonilla, R.S.; Freitag, M.; Ganose, A.M.; Gasparini, N.; Kaienburg, P.; Koutsourakis, G.; Major, J.D.; Nelson, J.; Noel, N.K.; Roose, B.; Yun, J.S.; Aliwell, S.; Altermatt, P.P.; Ameri, T.; Andrei, V.; Armin, A.; Bagnis, D.; Baker, J.; Beath, H.; Bellanger, M.; Berrouard, P.; Blumberger, J.; Boden, S.A.; Bronstein, H.; Carnie, M.J.; Case, C.; Castro, F.A.; Chang, Y.-M.; Chao, E.; Clarke, T.M.; Cooke, G.; Docampo, P.; Durose, K.; Durrant, J.R.; Filip, M.R.; Friend, R.H.; Frost, J.M.; Gibson, E.A.; Gillett, A.J.; Goddard, P.; Habisreutinger, S.N.; Heeney, M.; Hendsbee, A.D.; Hirst, L.C.; Islam, M.S.; Jayawardena, K.D.G.I.; Johnston, M.B.; Kauer, M.; Kettle, J.; Kim, J.-S.; Lamb, D.; Lidzey, D.; Lim, J.; MacKenzie, R.; Mason, N.; McCulloch, I.; McKenna, K.P.; Meier, S.B.; Meredith, P.; Morse, G.; Murphy, J.D.; Nicklin, C.; Ortega-Arriaga, P.; Osterberg, T.; Patel, J.B.; Peaker, A.; Riede, M.; Rush, M.; Ryan, J.W.; Scanlon, D.O.; Skabara, P.J.; So, F.; Snaith, H.J.; Steier, L.; Thiesbrummel, J.; Troisi, A.; Underwood, C.; Walzer, K.; Watson, T.; Walls, J.M.; Walsh, A.; Whalley, L.D.; Winchester, B.; Stranks, S.D.; Hoye, R.L.Z. Roadmap on established and emerging photovoltaics for sustainable energy conversion. *J. Phys. Energy* **2024**, *6*, 041501, https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7655/ad7404.
50. Barcenilla Canduela, C. Microbiome-based analytical approaches and biopreservation agents for improving meat quality and safety. Doctoral Thesis, Universidad de León, Spain, **2024**, https://doi.org/10.18002/10612/21863.
51. Jokinen, E.; Hirvonen, H.; Mankki, L.; Aho, T.; Lehto, I. Gender and Welfare Service Work in Biocapitalism: Lean in Action 1st Edition; Routledge, London, **2024**; https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003309789.
52. Dubey, P.; Shrivastav, V.; Boruah, T.; Zoppellaro, G.; Zbořil, R.; Bakandritsos, A.; Sundriyal, S. Unveiling the Potential of Covalent Organic Frameworks for Energy Storage: Developments, Challenges, and Future Prospects. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2024**, *14*, 2400521, https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202400521.
53. Lakhdar, Y.; Tuck, C.; Binner, J.; Terry, A.; Goodridge, R. Additive manufacturing of advanced ceramic materials. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **2021**, *116*, 100736, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2020.100736.
54. Mohamad Aziz, N.A.; Yunus, R.; Kania, D.; Hamid, H.A. Prospects and Challenges of microwave-combined technology for biodiesel and biolubricant production through a transesterification: A review. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*(4), 788, https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26040788.
55. Achinas, S. Biogas production by anaerobic treatment of biowaste: a theoretical and experimental approach. Doctoral Thesis, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, **9 July 2024**, https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.1052378036.
56. Bishnoi, P.; Siwal, S.S.; Kumar, V.; Thakur, V.K. Cellulose-based smart materials: Novel synthesis techniques, properties, and applications in energy storage and conversion devices. *Electron* **2024**, *2*, e42, https://doi.org/10.1002/elt2.42.
57. Foreman, M.R.S.; Johansson, R.K.; Mariotti, G.; Persson, I.; Tebikachew, B.E.; Tyumentsev, M.S. Sustainable solvent extraction of gold and other metals with biomass chemicals. *RSC Sustainability* **2024**, *2*, 655-675, https://doi.org/10.1039/d3su00078h.
58. McCormack, J. Production and Applications of Nanoparticles Grown or Deposited on Surfaces. Doctoral Thesis, Swansea University, Wales, UK, **2024**, https://doi.org/10.23889/suthesis.67072.

Publisher's Note & Disclaimer